



Committee on the Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment

Sub-statement on the potential risk(s) from exposure to microplastics: Inhalation route

Background

1. In 2019, as part of horizon scanning, the Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment (COT) identified the potential risks from microplastics as a topic it should consider to inform UK Food Standards Agency (FSA) discussions on this area ([TOX/2019/08](#)). Since then, several discussion papers have been presented to the COT and in 2021, the COT published an overarching statement on the potential risks from exposure to microplastics ([COT Statement 2021/02](#)), which contained their working definition of microplastics as synthetic particles or heavily modified natural particles with a high polymer content that are submicron-mm in size (0.1 to 5,000 μm or micrometres). Plastics that are below this size range are classed as nanoplastics (i.e. 1 nm to 0.1 μm) (COT, 2021). The Statement also includes available information on such particles.

2. The Statement provided a high-level overview of the current state of knowledge, data gaps and research requirements with regards to the topic. This was followed by a [sub-statement considering oral exposure to microplastics](#) in more detail.

3. The COT previously noted that there is little data on the effects of microplastics on mammals (including humans) whether taken in orally or via inhalation. The majority of microplastics (>90%) are excreted from the body but small amounts may remain in the gut (gastrointestinal tract (GIT)) or move from the GIT into organs or tissues due to endocytosis by M cells or paracellular persorption. No epidemiological or controlled dose studies that evaluated the effects of orally ingested microplastics in humans were identified and there is a similar lack of information on inhaled microplastics.

4. Although exposure to airborne microplastics can arise from a wide range of environmental sources (see paragraphs 65-73) there is still limited information regarding the concentrations of airborne microplastics.

5. In 2022, England's Chief Medical Officer Professor Chris Whitty published a [report](#) on indoor and outdoor air pollution which included comments on microplastics. In the report it is noted that microplastics are in the air unintentionally by stating:

“The airborne transport and inhalation of microplastics is an example showing how unintended air quality consequences might possibly arise far downstream from the public use of an originally safe synthetic product. Too great a focus on only meeting existing air quality standards and regulations, without considering how atmospheric composition may change with society and technology more broadly, may lead to problems that could have been intercepted earlier with greater non-targeted surveillance and horizon-scanning. A clear evidence gap exists between the extensive regulatory efforts placed on monitoring existing regulated air pollutants and research studies of emerging atmospheric composition, the latter being rarely systematic or long-term in nature” (CMO, 2022).

6. The fate and dispersion of microplastics in outdoor environments is dependent on several factors (see paragraph 68).

7. Atmospheric deposition of microplastic particles (MPPs) onto food prior to consumption must also be considered as a potential source of exposure. For example, Catarino et al., (2018) compared the potential exposure of humans to household dust fibres during a meal with the amounts of MPPs present in edible mussels from Scottish waters, showing that exposure was considerably higher from the household source. However, this is out of the scope of the present statement. Further information is available in [COT Statement 2021/02](#) the Overarching Statement.

8. An American study (Cox et al., 2019) estimated daily consumption and inhalation to be 142 MPPs and 170 MPPs in adult males, respectively. For adult females, the estimated values are 126 MPPs and 132 MPPs, respectively. Based on these values, an estimated exposure of ~120,00 and ~98,000 MPPs annually was calculated in male and female adults, respectively

9. The deposition of inhaled microplastics within the lung is dependent on the particle's physicochemical properties, as well as the subject's physiology and lung anatomy shown from paragraph 39.

10. Inhalation of microplastics could result in toxicity due either to the particles (i.e. physical effect) or their leachates (i.e. chemical effect). The mechanisms of inhaled particle injury are covered in paragraph 74. With regard to the available inhalation studies in laboratory animals, Environment and Climate Change Canada and Health Canada (ECCC and HC) in their review of the scientific literature noted that no dose-response relationship had been observed in mortality, survival time, behaviour, clinical observations, or tumour incidence from inhalation exposures (ECCC and HC 2020).

11. The COT previously reviewed risk assessments of MPPs carried out by various groups such as the European Tyre and Road Wear Platform; Tyre Industry Project (Jekel, 2019), Joint Research Centre (Grigoratos & Martini, 2014), Defra (AQEG, 2019), Health and Safety Executive (RUBIAC, 2007;

HSE, 2011), Committee on Medical Effects of Air Pollutants (COMEAP, 2015; 2020), WHO (WHO, 2013), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (Verschoor et al., 2016), and ECHA (ECHA, 2017).

12. The COT concluded that the literature data on exposure to particles from tyre wear would need separate consideration from microplastic exposure since the particles are chemically quite different in their polymeric nature. The COT considered that inhalation was likely to be the most significant route of exposure to TRWPs (tyre and road wear particles). Detailed risk assessments of such materials were considered outside of the scope of the current exercise, however some information has been included to provide context.

Scope and purpose

13. As there is evidence for the presence of plastic particles in both indoor and outdoor air, inhalation is a possible route of exposure (Gasperi et al., 2018; Domenech & Marcos, 2021).

14. The purpose of this sub-statement is to provide supplementary material to the overarching statement ([COT Statement 2021/02](#)) and to consider in detail the potential toxicological risks of exposure from microplastics *via* the inhalation route. It is based on the currently available literature and data from internal tools at the FSA (these include: a literature search application and signal prioritising dashboards).

Microplastics

15. Currently there is no internationally agreed definition of a microplastic, however, publications by Verschoor (2015) and Hartmann et al., (2015) have proposed criteria that could be included in the definition of microplastics. In Europe, the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has proposed a regulatory definition for a microplastic under the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) Regulation (ECHA, 2019). In the US,

the California Water Boards also recently published a proposed definition of microplastics in drinking water in March 2020.

16. Verschoor (2015) included 5 major properties that could be considered including chemical composition, physical state, particle size, solubility in water and degradability. Similarly, Hartmann et al., (2015) proposed seven criteria; chemical composition, solid state, solubility, size, shape and structure, colour and origin (i.e. primary or secondary particles; also known as pristine and aged), as discussed in the following paragraphs.

17. In Europe, the definition of a microplastic (which includes nano size) proposed by ECHA is a “material consisting of solid polymer-containing particles, to which additives or other substance(s) may have been added, and where $\geq 1\%$ w/w have (i) all dimensions $1 \text{ nm} \leq x \leq 5 \text{ mm}$ or (ii) for fibres, a length of $3 \text{ nm} \leq x \leq 15 \text{ mm}$ and length to diameter ratio of >3 . Polymers that occur in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis) are excluded, as are polymers that are (bio)degradable.” (ECHA, 2019).

18. The current definition of microplastics (which excludes nano size) in drinking water adopted by the California Water Boards is: “Microplastics in drinking water are defined as solid polymeric materials to which chemical additives or other substances may have been added, which are particles which have at least two dimensions that are greater than 1 and less than $5,000 \mu\text{m}$. Polymers that are derived in nature that have not been chemically modified (other than by hydrolysis) are excluded.” (California Water Boards, 2020).

19. As noted above, the definitions of microplastics are broad. Therefore, for the purposes of this document, the COT has adopted a working definition that microplastics are defined as synthetic particles or heavily modified natural particles with a high polymer content that are submicron in size ($0.1 \mu\text{m}$ to 5 mm). Plastics that are below this size range are classed as nanoplastics (i.e. 1 nm to $0.1 \mu\text{m}$) (COT, 2021; Bermúdez and Swarzenski, 2021; Frias and Nash, 2019). However, consensus on the size range is challenging.

20. The Committee also noted that microplastic particles that are present in the environment are not stable in size, meaning that as the duration of the degradation and agglomeration processes lengthen, the particle size continues to change due to fragmentation and erosion/weathering.

Nanoplastics

21. Nanoplastics have been defined as a material with any external dimension in the nanoscale or having internal structure or surface structure in the nanoscale (1 nm to 0.1 μm) (EFSA, 2016, European Commission, 2011). Nanoparticle is a general term based on the physical properties for a variety of chemical compositions. There is currently no further proposed definition.

22. A number of authoritative bodies have assessed the risks of nanomaterials and provided guidance on their assessment, which could also apply to nanoplastics. For example, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) Scientific Committee published an opinion on the potential risks arising from nanoscience and nanotechnologies on food and feed safety in 2009 (EFSA, 2009). This opinion did not provide any definitions; however, it was stated that the term nanoscale refers to a dimension of the order of 100 nm and below. Engineered nanomaterial was described as any material that is deliberately created such that it is composed of discrete functional and structural parts, either internally or at the surface, many of which will have one or more dimensions of the order of 100 nm or less.

23. The EFSA Scientific Committee recommended that the addition of other metrics (e.g. specific surface area which is independent of the agglomeration status of particles) should be included into the current definition of nanoscale materials (EFSA, 2009).

24. In 2011, EFSA published a guidance document on how EFSA's Panels should assess potential risks related to certain food-related uses of nanotechnology. New guidance on assessing the safety for humans and animals of nanoscience and nanotechnology applications in the food and feed chain was published in 2018 (EFSA, 2018).

25. The EFSA 2018 guidance is applicable to:

- A material that meets the criteria for an engineered nanomaterial, as outlined in Novel Food Regulation (EU) No 2015/2283 and Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 (i.e. have particle sizes in the defined nanoscale; 1-100 nm).
- A material that contains particles having a size above 100 nm which could retain properties that are characteristic of the nanoscale (not further elaborated).
- A material that is not engineered as nanomaterial but contains a fraction of particles (<50% in the number-size distribution. Elsewhere (EFSA Tech Req, 2021), less than 10% particles (number-based) with at least one dimension smaller than 250 nm no nano RA required) with one or more external dimensions in the size range 1-100 nm or less.
- A nanomaterial having the same elemental composition but that occurs in different morphological shapes, sizes, crystalline forms and/or surface properties.
- A nanoscale entity that is made of natural materials.

26. In July 2020, EFSA held a public consultation on its draft “Guidance on technical requirements for regulated food and feed product applications to establish the presence of small particles including nanoparticles”. The draft guidance outlines appraisal criteria grouped in three sections, to confirm whether or not the conventional risk assessment should be complemented with nano-specific considerations.

27. The first group of criteria addresses solubility and dissolution rate as key physicochemical properties to assess whether consumers will be exposed to particles. The second group establishes the information requirements for assessing whether the conventional material consists of small particles or contains a fraction thereof, and its characterisation. The third group describes the information to be presented for existing safety studies to demonstrate that the fraction of small particles, including those at the nanoscale, has been

properly evaluated. Post-finalisation, this guidance was to complement the EFSA 2018 guidance (as described above) (EFSA, 2020).

28. The definitions of nanomaterials above (paragraphs 25-28) are based on EFSA Guidance, but their guidance for the risk assessment of nanomaterials could also apply to nanoplastics.

Types of microplastics

29. Microplastics can be divided into two major types. Firstly, those that are deliberately manufactured to be in the size range of 0.1 to 5,000 µm which are known as primary microplastics (generally spherical) and are intentionally used in personal care products (for example, microbeads) or for various industrial applications. Secondary microplastics can be formed in the environment due to fragmentation of larger pieces of plastic caused by a culmination of physical, biological and photochemical degradation. Secondary microplastics have been termed microplastic particles (MPPs). MPPs can be further degraded to form nanoplastics, as defined above.

30. Besides the types of microplastics mentioned above, there is some debate within the scientific field as to whether rubber tyre particles should be considered microplastics. Tyres were initially made of natural rubber from the Brazilian rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*). Currently, tyres are produced from a mixture of natural and synthetic materials. Synthetic rubbers are made from petroleum products and are functionalised with the addition of sulfur (1-4%), zinc oxide (1%), carbon black/silica (22-40%) and oil (Kole et al., 2017).

31. Car tyres release wear particles through mechanical abrasion, resulting from contact between the road surface and the tyre. The amount and particle size are dependent on several factors such as climate (temperature), composition and structure of the tyre, tyre age, road surface, driving speed, vehicle characteristics and style, and nature of the contact. As such, tyre wear particles could be described as another environmental source of microplastics, depending on the presence of synthetic materials in their composition (Baensch-Baltruschat et al., 2020; Kole et al., 2017).

Analytical detection methodologies

32. From the literature, the detection methods described for microplastics include one or more of the following steps: sample collection and removal of biogenic matter, detection and quantification/enumeration and, the characterisation of the plastic (i.e. its chemical composition or polymer type) (Nguyen et al., 2019; Kwon, et al., 2020). It is important to note that during all these steps, precautions to avoid contamination from particles in the air, or with fibres from clothing, equipment or the reagents used, should be optimised (see Figure 1).

33. As seen in Figure 1, the majority of biological samples have been taken from aquatic species. The pre-separation method is dissection which recovers MPPs >500 μm , followed by separation methods including density separation, digestion using enzymes and various chemical compounds and filtration techniques. The analytical method is split between three categories: visual microscopic analysis, vibration spectroscopy (e.g. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and Raman spectroscopy) and mass spectroscopy, the last of which is also suitable for the characterisation, quantification and identification of nanoplastics.




Figure 1. Provides an overview of the methodologies utilised in the separation and analysis of microplastics and nanoplastics in complex environmental samples including: biological samples (fish, mussels and plankton), air samples (indoor and outdoor, synthetic textile fibres), wastewater samples (influent, effluent and sludge), water samples (drinking water, sea water and fresh water) and sediment samples (adapted from Nguyen et al., 2019). Abbreviations: H₂O₂ = hydrogen peroxide; HNO₃ = nitric acid; KOH = potassium hydroxide; HCl = hydrochloric acid; SEM = Scanning electron microscope; TEM = Transmission electron microscopy; FT-IR = Fourier-transform infrared; TDS-GC-MS = Thermodesorption gas chromatography-mass spectrometry; py-GC-MS = Pyrolysis gas chromatography-mass spectrometry ([Image taken from COT Microplastics Overarching Statement 2021](#)).




Figure 2. Diagrams taken from two studies observing microplastics in Bronchial Lavage Fluid samples (Qui et al, 2023; Uoginte et al. 2023).

34. Studies have now reported on human samples obtained during bronchoscopy procedures, whereby bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) was obtained. A measured fluid, such as saline solution was passed into the lung and then aspirated. These samples were then analysed using optical and TEM-EDX microscopy or SEM microscopy as shown in Figure 2 (Qiu et al, 2023; Uoginte et al. 2023). Other studies have detected microplastics in human lung samples using μ FT-IR (Jenner et al. 2021).

35. Currently there are only limited analytical methods available to detect and quantify the presence microplastics in various matrices. These include FT-IR, Nile Red staining techniques, Micro-Raman spectroscopy, quantitative

¹H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (qNMR) (Peez et al., 2019) and mass-spectroscopy; however, each of these methods has its own associated limitations (Nguyen et al., 2019).

36. Additionally, there are neither standardised testing protocols for different matrices (i.e. air, soil, food and water), nor standard reference materials for the analysis, characterization and quantification of micro and nanoplastics. No single technique is suitable for all plastic types or for all particle sizes or shapes. Therefore, the use of either a suite of methods or generation of new techniques will be necessary.

37. Comparison and replication of studies can be difficult due to differences in sampling, extraction, purification and analytical methods for enumerating and characterising microplastics. These methods are not yet standardized and have not been subject to interlaboratory validation. Contamination with airborne microplastics or cross contamination of samples can also occur, so suitable control samples may be difficult to obtain.

38. Most studies have performed tests on pristine particles; however, this may not be representative of what is present in the environment (i.e. the particles have not been subject to environmental degradation and other changes). Therefore, it is important to consider the variability among samples when comparing studies of the same polymer type.

Physicochemical properties

39. There are four morphological and chemical characteristics of microplastics, i.e. physicochemical properties, which influence their potential hazards. These are:

- i). Physical (e.g. bulk, fibres in the lung or those which could lead to gut blockage, as observed in aquatic and avian species);
- ii). Chemical composition (unbound monomers, additives, sorbed chemicals from the environment e.g. persistent organic pollutants and metals);

iii). Metabolism or degradation to form monomers or other derivatives, some of which could be chemically reactive (e.g. isocyanates from polyurethane) and;

iv). The presence of biofilms (attachment and colonisation of microorganisms on the plastics).

40. Due to the small size of some nano-/microplastics (NMPs) (0.1 μm to 5 mm), uptake across the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) and uptake into internal tissues is possible and thus they may have both local and systemic effects. Particles <50 μm in size can be absorbed from the gut via intracellular gaps and by phagocytic and endocytic pathways but only those of <1-2 μm in size are able to cross the cell membranes of internal organs.

Physical properties

41. NMPs can differ in their physicochemical properties (shape, size, density, surface charge, etc). The consideration of physical properties during hazard and/or risk assessment of plastic particles is important because the interactions of NMPs with biological systems can vary with differences in their size and shape (Nel et al., 2009), even when they have the same chemical composition.

42. The physical properties and morphologies of tyre materials can also vary under different sampling conditions. Those collected from road runoff and shredded tyres have elongated shapes, whilst samples generated from road simulator systems in laboratories range between jagged, droplets, granules, warped, porous, irregular, and near spherical in shape (Wagner et al., 2018). A review by Kole et al., (2017) revealed that the size distribution range of tyre wear and tear particles, could be from 6-350,000 nm. This wide size distribution range was attributed to several factors including the use of difference size metrics (e.g. particle mass versus particle numbers), analytical difficulties in separating tyre from road particles, and the large variation in experimental conditions and analytical equipment.

Chemical Properties

43. A particle's chemical properties such as charge or zeta potential (when particles are immersed in a conducting liquid such as water) are dependent on its chemical composition.

44. A particle's properties can also be influenced and changed by its surface chemistry. Each particle could have its own unique corona consisting of proteins adsorbed from plasma and/or intracellular fluid, adsorbed chemicals from the environment or microbiological organisms (see Figure 3).




Figure 3. (a) Comparison of the protein, environmental, and eco-coronas.

Formed within organisms at locations of high protein content, the term protein corona has been used to describe the binding of proteins to ENM (engineered nanomaterials) surfaces, but also incorporates lipids, metabolites (typically <1000 Da which are either reactants, intermediaries or products of enzymatic processes), and other biomolecules. To date, the term environmental corona has described a corona formed in aquatic environments with high concentrations of NOM, including humic substances. By contrast, the eco-corona incorporates features of both the protein and environmental coronas, where the balance of proteins and other molecules varies. (b) The evolution of the protein corona concept, adapted from Hadjidemetriou and Kostarelos. Studies of protein adsorption to surfaces and particles dates back to at least the 1960s. The term protein corona was first coined in 2007. Protein corona

studies developed with mass spectroscopy-based proteomics to aid identification of the proteins bound at the surface of ENMs and explore the role of surface curvature in altering protein structure and function relative to macroscale surfaces. Protein corona studies evolved in parallel with those on the environmental corona, but the characterization techniques and goals for each area remained separate, with environmental corona focusing mainly on the dispersion stabilization provided by NOM (natural organic material). The environmental dimensions of the protein corona began to appear later, as the concept of the eco-corona and its role in (nano)ecotoxicity emerged. Both the eco-corona and biomolecular corona embrace the diversity of molecules in solution with the goal of understanding and controlling downstream biological responses to nano-enabled technologies. (Image obtained from Wheeler et al. 2021).

45. The physicochemical properties of micro and nanoplastics can change over their life cycle and can also affect each other. For example, physical degradation resulting in the formation of nano-sized plastic particles and/or plastic particles with different shapes can generate a higher number of particles and thus gives rise to a larger total surface area and higher particle number which in turn affects the concentration. The weathering process can change the surface chemistry and size of microplastics, and chemical migration from the MPPs into the surrounding medium results in altered stability which in turn changes the physical degradation processes (Wheeler et al. 2021).

Toxicity

46. The COT have previously reviewed the human data on the toxicity of microplastics via inhalation ([TOX/2019/62](#)). The available toxicity data in humans was based on studies of occupational exposure. As the COT have previously discussed occupational exposure in the [TOX/2019/62](#) statement, it will not be included in this statement.

47. In their discussion of [TOX/2019/62](#) the COT considered that the assessment of microplastics exposure via inhalation could be easier in comparison to the assessment of oral exposure given the availability of occupational data from the synthetic textile industry, however, the context should be considered. The Committee further noted that microplastic concentrations present in food and water were thought to be lower in comparison to airborne exposure.

48. According to Panko et al., (2019), particulate matter (PM) from tyre abrasion may represent between 0.8 and 8.5 % mass fraction of PM₁₀ and 1 to 10% of PM_{2.5} in the air. However, it is unknown what percentage of the PM_{2.5} burden consists of microplastics (Zhang et al., 2020).

49. The toxicological properties of microplastics may differ to that of PM_{2.5} (or other pollutants) due to the additives present and their particular characteristics such as morphology and chemical composition (Zhang et al., 2020).

50. In 2020, the Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants (COMEAP) considered that the evidence on non-exhaust particles (road surface wear, re-suspended road dust, brake and tyre wear) from road transport and associated health effects should be re-evaluated. COMEAP concluded that, as a whole, the body of published work is small and did not provide a compelling narrative of adverse health effects of exposure to non-exhaust particles. However, as there was strong evidence that exposure to particulate pollutants in ambient air is harmful to health, some health risk associated with exposure to non-exhaust particles was likely.

51. COMEAP concluded that the available evidence is not very informative about which components or sources of particulate air pollution are particularly harmful to health and that evidence relating to non-exhaust emissions from traffic, is limited (COMEAP, 2020; 2022)

Inhalation studies (2020-March 2023)

In vitro

52. When the [Overarching Statement](#) by the COT was published, it included studies up to 2020 and therefore this statement concentrates on those studies published from 2020 to the March 2023.

53. Dong et al., (2020) assessed the pulmonary toxicity of polystyrene microplastics *in vitro* using BEAS-2B lung cells to determine the cytotoxic and inflammatory effects. The polystyrene MPs decreased alpha-1 antitrypsin levels and transepithelial electrical resistance by depleted zonula occludens proteins: these are a type of scaffolding protein. The study results indicated that low levels (10 µg/cm²) of polystyrene MPs cause disruption to the protective pulmonary barrier and high levels (1000 µg/cm²) may have an adverse effect on human lung health. This is based on testing in a single cell line which is not representative of *in vivo* exposure. However there are now 3D models available which can provide a more suitable model for examining the effects of airborne microplastics (Winkler et al. 2022).

In vivo

54. There is limited research on *in vivo* exposure to airborne microplastics. Lim et al., 2021 used a modified version of the OECD guideline (TG 412) 28-day inhalation toxicity study using a whole-body system. Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to three different concentrations (0.75, 1.50 and 3.00 x 10⁵ particles/cm³) of 0.1 µm polystyrene NMPs for 6 h each day, 5 days/week for 2 weeks. There was a lack of dose response and no definitive link between concentration at 14 days exposure, and observed alterations to the physiological, serum biochemical and haematological parameters or markers of respiratory function. However, there was a concentration-dependent increase in the expression of TGF-β and TNF-α inflammatory proteins. These authors suggest that sustained exposure to higher concentrations of NMPs may result in alterations at the molecular level, thus a risk to health from inhalation of polystyrene micro/nanoplastics. However, caution must be used when weighting this study as the morphology of the airways in the rat differs

markedly from that in humans. In addition, exposure was whole body, rather than inhalation only and therefore may not give a realistic representation of exposure in the human lungs. In addition, it is important to distinguish between normal acute particle clearance mechanisms and more persistent, potentially pathophysiological, responses.

Toxicokinetics

55. As discussed in [COT Statement Number 2021/02](#) , the toxicity of microplastics is dependent on a number of factors including size, morphology, chemical composition, additive leaching and surface functionalization.

56. Surface functional groups may affect the adsorption of organic contaminants and heavy metals leading to differences in their mobility and toxicity (Kim et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022). However, the MP vector effect is usually framed as 'complex', 'under debate' or 'controversial' (Koelmans et al., 2022b).

57. Deposition of respirable fibres occurs in the lung as a function of the aerodynamic diameter of the particle, whereas non-respirable fibres are often inhaled through the nasal passage but are then caught in mucus and swallowed thus creating a secondary exposure via the gastrointestinal tract. There are four main mechanisms of deposition in the lung: impaction, sedimentation, diffusion, and interception (Darquenne, 2006).

58. Inertial impaction occurs for particles with a diameter $> 5 \mu\text{m}$ or for those with excessive momentum. As a particle travels through the airways, it remains on the same trajectory. If the air flow changes direction, the particle will remain on its existing pathway, deviating from the changed airflow and impacting on to the surface of the airways. Inertial impaction occurs in the upper respiratory tract and the conducting zone only.

59. For particles with a diameter between 0.5 to 5 μm , the main deposition mechanism is sedimentation. This occurs mainly in the bronchi and bronchioles; when air resistance and gravity overcome the buoyancy of the particle causing it to settle on the surface of the lung.

60. Airborne particles are in constant random Brownian motion, due to collisions with gas molecules. This results in random, omnidirectional particle movement, known as diffusion. This occurs only with smaller particles, typically $< 0.5 \mu\text{m}$. Occasionally particles will collide with the cell surface, causing them to settle there. Diffusion occurs mainly in the small airways and alveoli, although the particles can also deposit in the upper airways by this mechanism particularly when their diameter is $< 0.01 \mu\text{m}$ (Tsuda et al., 2013).

61. Interception occurs when fibres with a large ratio between their length and diameter travel so close to the surface of the lung that they make contact. Deposition by interception increases with the length of the fibre. The area of deposition in the lung is dependent on the aspect ratio of the fibre but can also arise due to changes in airflow.

62. The deposition of inhaled microplastics in the lung is dependent on the particle's physicochemical properties, as well as the subject's physiology and lung anatomy. Deposition in the upper airways occurs by impaction, while in the small airways it occurs by sedimentation. Fibres have higher potential than spherical particles for penetration due to their high aspect ratio (Donaldson & Tran, 2002). Clearance relies on mechanical processes (e.g. mucociliary clearance where the mucus progresses towards the pharynx caused by the beating of cilia), alveolar macrophage phagocytosis and migration, and by lymphatic transport, which can result in secondary deposition in the GIT.

63. Clearance mechanisms for inhaled MPs $> 5 \mu\text{m}$, are likely to occur via the mucociliary escalator. Sneezing clears larger particles trapped in the nose/upper respiratory tract. Mucociliary transport clears particles from

bronchioles/lower respiratory tract, with swallowing and GIT exposure. Coughing plays a role in both of these mechanisms.

64. Some particles bypass the mucociliary clearance and travel deeper into the lung where phagocytosis occurs. Macrophages may break down particles < 20 µm by either dissolution or degradation but this is dependent on the particle composition. If a particle is > 20 µm in length, macrophages will not be able to fully engulf the particle, resulting in frustrated phagocytosis (Donaldson et al., 2010). This state causes an increased recruitment of macrophages, which can result in the phenomenon known as an “oxidative burst” occurring where inflammatory mediators and oxidants are released in high concentration, potentially leading towards the onset of lung inflammation and fibrosis (Donaldson et al., 2010; Gasperi et al., 2018). Inflammation can induce cell proliferation and secondary genotoxicity due to the continuous formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), resulting in oxidative stress, but this depends on a number of factors.

65. It is believed that once particles reach the pleura then they may reach the pleural space, however it is currently unknown how this particle migration occurs. Once particles reach the pleura, they may then travel to the lymphatic system which also helps clear phagocytic cells (Donaldson, et al., 2010; Enyoh et al., 2019). Possible translocation across alveolar walls into blood vessels with secondary translocation into tissues and organs may then occur (Fournier et al., 2020; Wright and Kelly, 2017).

Exposure

66. Microplastics are present in the indoor and outdoor environment. Sources of MPs include textiles, furniture, toys, electric cables and cleaning agents, construction material and litter (e.g. discarded packaging and containers).

67. The data available on outdoor exposure is limited. However, studies have shown that when comparing inhalation and ingestion routes indoors,

microplastic exposure via ingestion is minimal in comparison to that by inhalation.

Outdoor exposure from air

68. Microplastics found outdoors are more likely to fracture due to weathering in comparison to the microplastics present indoors. However, data on the levels and types of microplastics in the air compared to other media are limited (Ageel et al., 2021).

69. Environmental exposure to airborne microplastics occurs from a wide range of sources with synthetic textiles and the erosion of synthetic rubber tyres being the most frequently reported in the literature. Resuspended city dust which contains a fraction of settled synthetic fibres/rubber tyre wear is a secondary source of airborne microplastics. Wind transfer is estimated to be responsible for 7% of the ocean's contamination (Boucher & Friot, 2017).

70. The fate and dispersion of microplastics in outdoor environments are dependent on several factors. These include the vertical concentration gradient where there are higher concentrations near the ground due to deposition and settling, wind speed and direction, land topography, precipitation, and temperature. Concentrations of airborne microplastics in outdoor air are expected to be low, due to dilution. O'Brien et al. (2023) noted in their review that the concentration of microplastics in outdoor ambient air ranges between <1 and >1000 MPPs/m³, while the outdoor deposition concentrations ranged between 0.5 and 1357 MPPs/m²/day.

71. There is limited information regarding the concentrations of airborne microplastics, however, the Dris et al. (2016, 2017) studies carried out in Greater Paris found average outdoor deposition rates of 53 and 110 particles/m²/day. Data for Central London on outdoor deposition rates of microplastics have also been reported, and these range from 575-1,008 total MPPs/m²/day; 510-925 fibres/m²/day (Wright et al., 2020). These numbers

are affected by climate conditions and seasonality and are also affected by the sampling and analytical methodologies used.

72. An American study (Cox et al., 2019) has proposed an estimated daily consumption and inhalation of 142 MPPs and 170 MPPs in adult males, respectively. For adult females, the estimated values are 126 MPPs and 132 MPPs, respectively for the same exposure routes. Based on these values, a total annual estimated exposure of ~120,00 and ~98,000 MPPs was calculated for male and female adults, respectively. These exposure estimates were based on reported microplastic concentrations in salt, alcohol (beer), seafood (fish, shellfish and crustaceans), added sugars (sugar and honey), water (bottled and tap), and in air. Note that the estimated annual exposure values did not take into account atmospheric deposition of microplastics during food preparation and consumption. The authors are of the view that “these estimates are subject to large amounts of variation; however, given methodological and data limitations, these values are likely underestimates.”

73. As noted previously, inhalation of microplastics can result in toxicity due either to the physical effects of the particles or the chemical effects of their leachates. Amato-Lourenço et al. (2020) concluded that the response in humans depends on differences in individual metabolism and susceptibility. It is not yet known how the toxicity of synthetic fibres compares with that of organic/natural fibres (Donaldson & Tran, 2002). However, it is known that fibres from synthetic textiles are quite flexible (Bunsell (ed), 2018) and hence do not possess the characteristic rigid, long, thin morphology of asbestos fibres, which is responsible for their toxicity and carcinogenicity.

74. In general, the mechanisms of inhaled particle injury include dust overload where high surface area particles induce high chemotactic gradients that prevent macrophage migration, oxidative stress (production of reactive oxygen species, which induces cell injury and release of inflammatory mediators), cytotoxicity (where free intracellular particles damage cellular structures), and translocation (injury of secondary sites and vascular

occlusion by particles or increased coagulability). Depending on the nature of the particle and the extent of exposure, such mechanisms might lead to adverse endpoints such as fibrosis, which can develop as a result of chronic cytotoxicity and inflammation.

Indoor exposure

75. The indoor behaviour of airborne microplastics is dependent on factors including room partition, ventilation and airflow.

76. Dris et al., (2017) investigated indoor (two apartments and one office) air samples in the city centre of Paris. Indoor concentrations of microplastics ranged between 1.0 and 60 fibres/m³. The fibres that were measured indoors consisted of 67% of natural materials, primarily made of cellulosic materials and the remaining 33% contained petrochemicals, predominantly polypropylene.

77. Zhang et al., (2020) collated data from 46 studies and calculated the annual intake of indoor and outdoor microplastics using an inhalation rate of 14.3 m³ per day as 1.9×10^3 - 1.0×10^5 and 10^1 - 3.0×10^7 particles respectively, with approximate means of 3×10^4 for indoor exposure and 4×10^3 for outdoor exposure, confirming that there is increased exposure to microplastics in the indoor environment. Whereas Fang et al., (2022), calculated the annual atmospheric deposition of MPPs as 3.5×10^5 – 2.2×10^7 items (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Diagram of microplastics (MPs) via the inhalation and ingestion routes of exposure showing that ingestion of microplastics (items/year) is minimal in comparison to the inhalation route, whereas microplastics that have deposited on food and then ingested was of a similar magnitude to the microplastics via the inhalation route (Taken from Fang et al., 2022).

78. A recent study conducted in Hull, UK sampled 20 households each month for a 6 month period for atmospheric fallout, detecting an average of 1414 MPPs/m² per day with particles in the size range of 2-250 μ m contributing 90% of the particles found. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyamide (PA) and polypropylene (PP) were the most abundant materials in the samples collected (Jenner et al., 2021).

79. Microplastics have been identified in all areas of the lung from tissue samples obtained following surgical resection for cancer or lung reduction surgery. Data was not normally distributed ($p = 0.013$) and a Kruskal-Wallis test showed that the number of MMPs in the lower region was significantly higher than the middle/lingular ($p = 0.038$) and the upper region ($p = 0.026$). Within the upper region ($n = 6$, total mass = 33.66 g), 11 MMPs were identified; PE (polyethylene) (18%), PP (18%), PES (polyester) (9%), PS (polystyrene) (9%), resin (9%), SEBS (styrene-ethylene-butylene co-polymer) (9%), TPE (thermoplastic elastomer) (9%). Within the middle/lingular region ($n = 3$, total tissue mass = 12.19 g), 7 MMPs were identified; PET (29%), resin (29%), PE (14%), PMMA (polymethylmethacrylate) (14%), PUR

(polyurethane) (14%). Within the lower region (n = 4, total tissue mass = 9.56 g), 21 MMPs were identified; PP (33%), PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) (19%), PET (14%), Resin (14%), PS (10%), PAN (polyacrylonitrile) (5%), PE (5%) (Jenner et al. 2022) (see Figure 5).




Figure 5. Diagram showing the difference polymer types discovered in the lung and the area of the lung Particle number (total MPs detected with no account taken for MPs found in controls) and polymer type of MPs identified within human lung tissue samples, assigned to their lung region (Figure image taken from Jenner et al., 2022).

80. The concentration of microplastics in indoor air is dependent on what occurs in the environment, for example, whether it is a home or occupational setting (discussed below in paragraphs 87-88).

Occupational exposure

81. Occupational exposure was not included in this statement as it was previously discussed in [TOX/2019/62](#).

Potential new approaches

82. To date there are no standardised characterisation, collection, and analytical methods for airborne microplastics or comprehensive risk assessment of NMPs. However, studies are beginning (Koelmans 2022a) to suggest ways in which this could be done.

83. It has also been suggested that an adverse outcome pathway (AOP) framework including the mechanisms of adverse effects, and new approach methodologies (NAMs) can be used in improving the decision-making process with regard to microplastic hazard assessment. The use of read-across, microphysicochemical systems (such as organs on a chip), fluid dynamics, computational models and “omics” can not only reduce the number of animals used and the traditional testing methodologies, but might also provide a more robust scientific basis for decision-making (Halappanavar and Mallach 2021). However, to date, these methods have had only limited success in relation to ambient air pollutants.

COT evaluation

84. NMPs are widespread, they are either intentionally added to products or occur as a result of plastics being fragmented down into smaller sizes by natural processes such as wear, weathering and corrosion. There is no internationally agreed definition of what a microplastic is, however, the most widely used size range is from 0.1-5,000 μm . Plastic particles that are smaller than the lower range are considered nanoplastics (i.e. 1 nm – 0.1 μm).

85. Microplastics can have a wide range of physicochemical properties, depending on the primary purpose of the plastic; however, these properties may not be the same in secondary microplastics, where fragmentation has occurred as a result of natural processes (and as such the MPPs are not considered pristine).

86. Analytical methodology is currently limited to Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), Nile Red, quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance(qNMR), Micro-Raman spectroscopy and mass-spectroscopy.

87. There are no standardised testing methods for different matrices such as air, soil, food and water; the available methods have their own associated limitations, and suitable reference materials are not currently available. Furthermore, no single technique is suitable for all plastic types and/or for all particle sizes or shapes. Using a suite of methods or generation of new techniques may be necessary to fully assess microplastics.

88. In terms of the toxicity of NMPs, there are no studies suitable for identification of No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) for any polymer type (with the possible exception of PET powder at 2,500 mg/kg bw/day in rats for oral exposure as reported by Merski et al., 2008, however, this study has several limitations and was conducted using the oral exposure route). Available data from the European Chemical Agency Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (ECHA REACH) database relates only to the starting materials i.e. the monomers. Furthermore, variability in exposure routes must also be considered.

89. Comparing studies using different methodology and analytical techniques can be challenging as there is currently no standardization for characterizing and testing microplastics.

90. Contamination with airborne microplastics or cross-contamination of samples may also affect the interpretation of studies, so suitable control samples may be difficult to obtain.

91. Most toxicity studies have been performed with pristine particles, mostly polystyrene; however, these may not be representative of what is present in the environment as the particles have not undergone degradative processes or contain any additional pollutants that attach to the microplastic. There are no specific reference materials that can be used and batch to batch variation can also occur.

92. Currently a full risk assessment on the potential toxic effect(s) of NMPs could not be carried out due to several data gaps including:

- The unavailability of harmonised methodologies to characterise, quantify and identify NMPs.
- The lack of toxicokinetic and toxicity data in general. There are no studies suitable for the identification of NOAELs for the different polymer types except possibly for PET powder by the oral route at 2,500 mg/kg bw/day in rats, (see paragraph 88), which had a number of limitations (e.g. particle size and count were not determined/reported).
- The paucity of currently available data for microplastics and airborne exposure.
- The difficulty of performing an accurate exposure assessment.

93. For the reasons above, a case-by-case approach to risk assessments may need to be considered. This aligns with the conclusions reached by other authoritative bodies (WHO, 2022; Environment and Climate Change and Health Canada(ECC and HC), 2020; EU Science Advice for Policy by European Academies (SAPEA), 2019; EU Group of Chief Scientific Advisors; Scientific Advice Mechanism (SAM), 2019, as described in the COT overarching statement on the potential risks from exposure to microplastics; [COT Statement 2021/02](#), paragraphs 101-129).

Research priorities for risk assessment

Data gaps

94. For the inhalation route the significant data gaps include the lack of:

- Harmonised analytical methods for detection of different NMPs during sample collection.
- Methods of detection of NMPs in tissues and their systemic effects.
- Understanding the contribution and effects of different exposure scenarios (e.g. indoor and outdoor environments).
- Understanding how different pre-existing lung and other disease states may be involved in any effects from microplastic exposure.
- How available occupational data and on other particle types should be extrapolated to the general population.
- Data on inhalation exposure to NMPs that are resuspended in an indoor environment.

Priorities for risk assessment

95. The COT recommends the following research priorities ranked in order of importance for addressing the data gaps in the potential toxicity of NMPs in humans and suggest a call should be put out to researchers (Table 1). Information in these areas will assist in the future risk assessment of these particles by inhalation and other routes of exposure.

Table 1. Table of future priorities for risk assessment divided into opportunities for improved study design and reporting, as well as research needs.

Ranking Order	Field	Opportunities for improved study design and reporting	Research needs
1	Physicochemical properties /Analytical methodologies	No information.	Development of reference standards and an

			<p>appropriate fit for purpose quantification and detection methodologies for NMPs in different matrices.</p> <p>Standardisation of the NMPs used and reported.</p>
2	Biological effects	<p>Studies to explore the effect (s) of the same type of NMP on different tissues and of different types of NMP on the same target tissue.</p> <p>Consistency in the use and reporting of the standards used, the source of the NMPs, clear characterisation of the NMPs used and standardised reporting of dosimetry.</p>	<p>Investigations of the extent to which NMPs with a range of sizes and compositions are assimilated into human tissues and development of techniques capable of identifying the presence of microplastics in the human body (e.g. in biopsies, samples from tissue banks, if possible, histopathology sections; residual controls at point of sampling).</p> <p>Exploration of steady states.</p>
3	Physicochemical properties	<p>Standards in measuring and reporting size of particulates.</p> <p>Consistency in the use and reporting of the standards used, the source of the NMPs and clear characterisation</p>	<p>From studies of particles at the nanoscale, it has been reported that nanoplastics can deposit lower down in the lung and have been shown to translocate across the pulmonary</p>

		of the NMPs used.	cellular barrier to secondary organs. Further research needed to confirm. More studies needed looking into the potential effects of nanoplastics are needed to understand size related effects.
4	Adsorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion Toxicokinetics.	Studies on the persistence and potential accumulation of NMPs in the human body and on the extent to which NMPs are digestible.	<p>Studies on the absorption, distribution, digestion and removal (excretion) of different particle types and sizes.</p> <p>Steady states studies.</p> <p>Provide and maintain a data base of information assessing biodistribution of NMPs.</p> <p>Evaluation of current dosimetry models for use with NMPs.</p>
5	Migration and degradation.	Assessment of the degradation of novel/emerging plastic-based materials on the market such as biobased plastics (e.g. bamboo ware, polylactic acid, chitin) and other advanced polymer matrix composite	<p>It is unclear whether, and by how much, they already contribute to the burden of NMPs or similar particles.</p> <p>Research is needed to explore this and</p>

		materials during their use and end of life for their contribution to NMPs.	apply to future novel materials.
6	Migration/degradation and analytical methodology/detection.	Regular assessment of NMPs in water, air and relevant food stuff as well as establish a monitoring programme.	<p>Microplastic concentrations in the environment are expected to increase in the future. In addition, increased and widespread use of single-use plastic personal protective equipment due to the COVID-19 pandemic may also be a significant contributing source of plastic pollution.</p> <p>The quantification methods for microplastic particulate matter are currently limited and can only be estimated, thus improved technology is required. Regular assessment of NMPs in water, air and relevant food stuff as well as establish a monitoring programme. This can then be shared between academia, researchers and government bodies both</p>

			nationally and internationally.
--	--	--	---------------------------------

COT conclusions

96. The COT noted that there are limited data regarding the toxicokinetic fate of inhaled microplastics in mammalian species. The extent to which retention in the lung is of concern is not yet clear. No epidemiological or controlled dose studies that evaluated the effects of inhaled microplastics in humans were identified.

97. As such, the COT concludes that based on the available data, it is not yet possible to perform an assessment for the potential risks from exposure to micro and nanoplastics *via* the inhalation routes; however, the COT concurs with the conclusions reached by other authoritative bodies (EFSA (2016, 2020, 2021), WHO (2019, 2022), ECCC (2020) and HC, SAPEA (2019), SAM (2019), as described in the COT overarching statement on the potential risks from exposure to microplastics : [COT Statement 2021/02](#), paragraphs 101-129).

98. The COT concluded that the literature data on exposure to particles from tyre wear would need separate consideration from microplastic exposure from food, since the particles are chemically quite different in their polymeric nature. Risk assessment of such material was considered potentially outside the scope of the current exercise.

99. The most significant data gaps are the lack of appropriate and harmonised analytical methods for the detection of micro- and nanoplastics (together with suitable reference standards), as well as information on their toxicokinetic and toxicity profiles in/relevant for humans.

100. The COT highlighted that additional information will be needed from all exposure sources, which include indoor and outdoor air, dust and soil before

a risk assessment can be completed. The presence of MPs in food and water needs to be put into perspective with other sources of MPs such as atmospheric fallout.

101. Current studies typically focus on only one type of particle/tissue interaction, as such, further research is necessary to explore the effects of the range of particle types in different tissues *in vitro* and/or *in vivo*. This range of particle types should also take account of emerging/novel plastic-based materials such as bioplastics. The future priorities for risk assessment are shown in Table 1.

COT Statement 2024/01

January 2024

Abbreviations

1 α -antitrypsin	Alpha-1 antitrypsin
ABS	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
COT	Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment
COMEAP	Committee on Medical Effects of Air Pollution
CONTAM	Contaminants in the Food Chain
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
EC	European Commission
ECC	Environment and Climate Change
ECHA	European Chemicals Agency
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
FT-IR	Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
FSA	Food Standards Agency
GIT	Gastrointestinal tract
HC	Health Canada
ILSI	International Life Sciences Institute
MILC	Mothers' information on lactation and collection
MOE	Margin of exposure
MPPs	Microplastic particles
NEE	Non-exhaust emission
NMPs	Nano- and microplastics
NOAEL	No observed adverse effect level
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PCBs	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PE	Polyethylene
PET	Polyethylene terephthalate
PM ₁₀	Particulate matter (10 μ m)
PP	Polypropylene

py-GC-MS	Pyrolysis coupled with gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy
qNMR	Quantitative Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
RAC	Committee for Risk Assessment
REACH	Registration Evaluation Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
RUBIAC	Rubber Industry Advisory Committee
SAM	EU Group of Chief Scientific Advisors; Scientific Advice Mechanism
SAPEA	EU Science Advice for Policy by European Academies
SEAC	Committee for Socio-economic Analysis
TDS-GC-MS	Thermodesorption gas chromatography with mass spectrometric detection
TWPs	Tyre wear particles
TRWPs	Tyre and road wear particles
UK	United Kingdom
UKWIR	United Kingdom Water Industry Research
US	United States
VOCs	Volatile organic compounds
WHO	World Health Organisation
Zonula Occludens	Scaffolding proteins

References

Ageel, H., Harrad, S., Abou-Elwafa Abdallah, M. (2021). Occurrence, human exposure, and risk of microplastics in the indoor environment. Environ. Sci. Processes Impacts. [Occurrence, human exposure, and risk of microplastics in the indoor environment – Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts \(RSC Publishing\)](#).

Allen, S., Allen, D., Phoenix, V.R., Le Roux, G., Jiménez, P.D., Simonneau, A., Binet, S., Galop, D. (2019). Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote mountain catchment. Nature Geoscience, 12, 339-344. [Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote mountain catchment | Nature Geoscience](#).

Amato- Lourenço, L., dos Santos Galvão, L., de Weger, L. A., Hiemstra, P., S., Vijver, M. G. and Mauad, T. (2020) An emerging class of air pollutants: Potential effects of microplastics to respiratory human health? Science of the Total Environment 749, 141676. [An emerging class of air pollutants: Potential effects of microplastics to respiratory human health? - ScienceDirect](#).

AQEG. (2019) Non-exhaust emissions from road traffic. [1907101151_20190709_Non_Exhaust_Emissions_typeset_Final.pdf \(defra.gov.uk\)](#).

Baensch-Baltruschat, B., Kocher, B., Stock, F., Reifferschied, G. (2020) Tyre and road wear particles (TRWP) – A review of generation, properties, emissions, human health risks, ecotoxicity, and fate in the environment. Science of the Total Environment 733, 137823. [Tyre and road wear particles \(TRWP\) - A review of generation, properties, emissions, human health risk, ecotoxicity, and fate in the environment - ScienceDirect](#).

Barrero-Moreno, J., Senaldi, C., Bianchi, I., Geiss, O., Tirendi, S., Folgado de Lucena, A., Barahona, F., Mainardi, G., Leva, P. and Aguar-Fernandez, P. (2018) Migration of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) from plastic

and rubber articles - Final report on the development of a migration measurement method. JRC Technical Reports JRC111476.

[JRC Publications Repository - Migration of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons \(PAHs\) from plastic and rubber articles \(europa.eu\)](#).

Bermúdez, J.R., and Swarzenski, P.W. (2021). A microplastic size classification scheme aligned with universal plankton survey methods. *MethodsX*, Vol 8, 101516.

[A microplastic size classification scheme aligned with universal plankton survey methods - ScienceDirect](#).

Boucher, J. and Friot, D. (2017) Primary microplastics in the Oceans: A global evaluation of sources. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. [2017-002-En.pdf \(iucn.org\)](#).

Bunsell, A.R. (ed.) (2018) Handbook of Properties of Textile and Technical Fibres, 2nd Edition. Woodhead Publishing/Elsevier Ltd, Duxford.

Burkhart, J., Piacitelli, C., Schwegler-Berry, D., Jones, W. (1999). Environmental study of nylon flocking process. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A*, 57, 1-23. [Environmental study of nylon flocking process - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

California Water Boards. (2020) State Water Resources Control Board Resolution No. 2020-0021. Adoption of definition of 'Microplastics in Drinking Water'. [rs2020-0021 \(ca.gov\)](#). Accessed: 01/09/2020.

Catarino, A.I., Macchia, V., Sanderson, W.G., Thompson, R.C., Henry, T.B. (2018). Low levels of microplastics (MP) in wild mussels indicate that MP ingestion by humans is minimal compared to exposure via household fibres fallout during a meal. *Environ Pollut.* 237: 675-684. [Low Levels of Microplastics \(MP\) in Wild Mussels Indicate that MP Ingestion by Humans is Minimal.pdf;jsessionid=1BC42A04D9E177F3C810388C7FEE987C \(plymouth.ac.uk\)](#).

Chen, E-Y., Lin, K-T., Jung, C-C., Chang, C-L., Chen, C-Y. (2022). Characteristics and influencing factors of airborne microplastics in nail salons. Science of the Total Environment. Vol. 806 (4): 151472. [Characteristics and influencing factors of airborne microplastics in nail salons - ScienceDirect](#).

Chief Medical Officer (CMO) (2022). Chief Medical Officer's annual report 2022: air pollution. [Chief Medical Officer's Annual Report 2022 \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](#).

COMEAP. (2015) Statement on the evident for differential health effects of particulate matter according to source or components. [Particulate air pollution: health effects of exposure - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#).

COMEAP. (2020) Statement on the evidence for health effects associated with exposure to non-exhaust particulate matter from road transport. [COMEAP Statement on the evidence for health effects associated with exposure to non-exhaust particulate matter from road transport \(COMEAP Statement non-exhaust PM health effects \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](#)

Accessed: 19/11/2020.

COMEAP. (2022) Statement on the differential toxicity of particulate matter according to source or constituents. [Statement on the differential toxicity of particulate matter according to source or constituents: 2022 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#).

Cox, K. D., Covernton, G. A., Davies, H. L., Dower, J. F., Juanes, F., Dudas, S. E. (2019) Human consumption of microplastics. Environmental Science & Technology 53, pp. 7068-7074. [Human Consumption of Microplastics - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Culp, S. J., Gaylor, D. W., Sheldon, W. G., Golstein, L. S. and Beland, F. A. (1998) A comparison of the tumors induced by coal tar and benzo[a]pyrene in a 2-year bioassay. Carcinogenesis 19, pp 117–124. [A comparison of the](#)

[tumors induced by coal tar and benzo\[a\]pyrene in a 2-year bioassay - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Darquenne, C. (2006). Particle deposition in the lung. Encyclopedia of Respiratory Medicine. Elsevier. [PII: B0123708796002891 \(sciencedirectassets.com\).](#)

Deng, Y., Zhang, Y. Lemos, B. and Ren, H. (2017) Tissue accumulation of microplastics in mice and biomarker responses suggest widespread health risks of exposure. Scientific Reports 7, 46687. [Tissue accumulation of microplastics in mice and biomarker responses suggest widespread health risks of exposure - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Devereux, R., Ayati, B., Kebede Westhead, E., Jayaratne, R., Newport, D. (2023). Impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on microplastic abundance along the River Thames. Marine Pollution Bulletin (189) 114763. [Impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on microplastic abundance along the River Thames - ScienceDirect.](#)

Domenech, J. and Marcos, R. (2021) Pathways of human exposure to microplastics, and estimation of the total burden. Current Opinion in Food Science 39, pp. 144-151. [Pathways of human exposure to microplastics, and estimation of the total burden - ScienceDirect.](#)

Donaldson, K., and Lang Tran, C. (2002) Inflammation caused by particles and fibres. Inhalation Toxicology 14, pp. 5-27. [Inflammation caused by particles and fibers - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Donaldson, K., Murphy, F.A., Duffin, K., Poland, C.A. (2010) Asbestos, carbon nanotubes and the pleural mesothelium: a review of the hypothesis regarding the role of long fibre retention in the parietal pleura, inflammation and mesothelioma. Part Fibre Toxicol. 22;7:5. [Asbestos, carbon nanotubes and the pleural mesothelium: a review of the hypothesis regarding the role of long](#)

[fibre retention in the parietal pleura, inflammation and mesothelioma | Particle and Fibre Toxicology | Full Text \(biomedcentral.com\).](#)

Dong, C., Chen, C., Chen, Y., Chen, H., Lee, J., Lin, C. (2020). Polystyrene microplastic particles: In vitro pulmonary toxicity assessment. Journal of Hazardous materials, 385 121575. [Polystyrene microplastic particles: In vitro pulmonary toxicity assessment – ScienceDirect.](#)

Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C. and Tassin, B. (2016) Synthetic fibres in atmospheric fallout: A source of microplastics in the environment? Marine Pollution Bulletin 104, pp. 290-293. [Synthetic fibers in atmospheric fallout: A source of microplastics in the environment? - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Mirande, C., Mandin, C., Guerrouache, M., Langlois, V. and Tassin, B. (2017) A first overview of textile fibres, including microplastics, in indoor and outdoor environments. Environmental Pollution 221, pp. 453-458. [A first overview of textile fibers, including microplastics, in indoor and outdoor environments - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

ECCC & HC. (2020) Science assessment of plastic pollution. [Science assessment of plastic pollution - Canada.ca.](#) Accessed: 23/12/2020.

ECHA. (2017) Annex XV Report. An evaluation of the possible health risks of recycled rubber granules used as infill in synthetic turf sports fields. [Final \(europa.eu\)](#) Accessed: 14/01/2020.

ECHA. (2019) Annex XV Restriction Report Proposal for a Restriction for intentionally added microplastics. [Microsoft Word - rest_microplastics_axvreport_en.docx \(europa.eu\).](#) Accessed: 10/11/2020.

EFSA. (2009) The potential risks arising from nanoscience and nanotechnologies on food and feed safety. The EFSA Journal 958, pp. 1-39. [The Potential Risks Arising from Nanoscience and Nanotechnologies on Food and Feed Safety \(wiley.com\)](#) Accessed: 01/09/2020.

EFSA. (2016) Presence of microplastics and nanoplastics in food, with particular focus on seafood. The EFSA Journal 14, 4501. [Presence of microplastics and nanoplastics in food, with particular focus on seafood \(wiley.com\)](#) Accessed: 04/08/2020.

EFSA. (2021) Guidance on risk assessment of nanomaterials to be applied in the food and feed chain: human and animal health. The EFSA Journal 19(8), 6768. [Guidance on risk assessment of nanomaterials to be applied in the food and feed chain: human and animal health \(wiley.com\)](#).

EFSA. (2020) EFSA Guidance on technical requirements for regulated food and feed product applications to establish the presence of small particles including nanoparticles. [Draft-Nano-Technical-Guidance-For-Public-Consultation.pdf \(europa.eu\)](#) Accessed: 10/11/2020.

Enyoh, C.E., Verla, A.W., Verla, E.N., Ibe, F.C., Amaobi, C.E. (2019) Airborne microplastics: a review study on method analysis, occurrence, movement and risks. Environ Monit Assess. 24: 191(11):668. [Airborne microplastics: a review study on method for analysis, occurrence, movement and risks - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

European Commission, (2011). Commission recommendation of 18 October 2011 on the definition of nanomaterial. Official Journal of European Union, L275, 38–40. [Definition of nanomaterial - European Observatory for Nanomaterials \(europa.eu\)](#).

Fadare, O. O., Wan , B., Guo, L-H. and Zhao, L. (2020) Microplastics from consumer plastic food containers: Are we consuming it? Chemosphere 253, 126787. [Microplastics from consumer plastic food containers: Are we consuming it? - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Fang, M., Liao, Z., Ji, X., Zhu, X., Wang, Z., Lu, C., Shi, C., Chen, Z., Ge, L., Zhang, M., Dahlgren, R.A., Shang, X. (2022). Microplastic ingestion from

atmospheric deposition during dining/drinking activities. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 432: 128674. [Microplastic ingestion from atmospheric deposition during dining/drinking activities - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Fournier, S.B., D'Errico, J.N., Adler, D.S., Kollontzi, S., Goedken, M.J., Fabris, L., Yurkow, E.J., Stapleton, P.A. (2020) Nanopolystyrene translocation and fetal deposition after acute lung exposure during late-stage pregnancy. Part Fibre Toxicol. 24:17(1):55. [Nanopolystyrene translocation and fetal deposition after acute lung exposure during late-stage pregnancy | Particle and Fibre Toxicology | Full Text \(biomedcentral.com\)](#).

Frias, J.P.G.L and Nash, R. (2019). Microplastics: Finding a consensus on the definition. Marine Pollution Bulletin, Vol 138, 145-147. [Microplastics: Finding a consensus on the definition - ScienceDirect](#).

FSA. (2020) A critical review of microbiological colonisation of nano- and microplastics (NMPs) and their significance to the food chain. [A critical review of microbiological colonisation of nano- and microplastics \(NMPs\) and their significance to the food chain | Food Standards Agency](#) Accessed: 24/08/2020.

Gasperi, J., Wright, S., L., Dris, R., Collard, F., Mandin, C., Guerrouache, M., Langlois, V., Kelly, F. J. and Tassin, B. (2018) Microplastics in air: Are we breathing it in? Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health 1, pp. 1-5. [Microplastics in air: Are we breathing it in? – ScienceDirect](#).

Grigoratos, T., Martini, G. (2014) Non-exhaust traffic related emissions. Brake and tyre wear PM; Literature review. [Non-exhaust traffic related emissions – Brake and tyre wear PM – Publications Office of the EU \(europa.eu\)](#). Accessed: 17/08/2020.

Halappanavar, S., and Mallach, G. (2021) Adverse outcome pathways and in vitro toxicology strategies for microplastics hazard testing. Current Opinion in

Toxicology, 28: 52-61. [Adverse outcome pathways and in vitro toxicology strategies for microplastics hazard testing – ScienceDirect.](#)

Hartmann, N. B., Hüffer, T., Thompson, R. C., Hassellöv, M., Verschoor, A., Daugaard, A. E., Rist, S., Karlsson, T., Brennholt, N., Cole, M., Herrling, M. P., Hess, M. C., Ivleva, N. P., Lusher, A. L. and Wagner, M. (2019) Are we speaking the same language? Recommendations for a definition and categorization framework for plastic debris. Environmental Science and Technology 53, pp. 1039-1047. [Are We Speaking the Same Language? Recommendations for a Definition and Categorization Framework for Plastic Debris | Environmental Science & Technology \(acs.org\).](#)

Hillerdal, G., Steinholtz, Rosenhall, L. and Lindgren, A. (1988) Pulmonary fibrosis caused by synthetic textile fibres? VIIth International Pneumoconioses Conference, pp. 1405-1407. [Proceedings of the VIIth International Pneumoconioses Conference, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA, August 23-26, 1988 : Part II = : Transactions de la VIIe Conférence internationale sur les pneumoconioses, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvanie, Etats-Unis, 23-26 août, 1988 : Tome II = Transaciones de la VIIa Conferencia Internacional sobre las Neumoconiosis, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania EE. UU, 23-26 de agosto de 1988 : Parte II \(cdc.gov\).](#)

HSE. (2011) Safe to Breathe: Dust and fume control in the rubber industry. [Safe to Breathe: Dust and fume control in the rubber industry \(hse.gov.uk\).](#)

Huerta Lwanga, E., Gertsen, H., Gooren, H., Peters, P., Salánki, T., van der Ploeg, M., Besselingm E., Koelmans, A. A. and Geissen, V. (2016) Microplastics in the terrestrial ecosystem: Implications for **Lumbricus terrestris** (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae). Environmental Science & Technology 50, pp. 2685-2691. [Microplastics in the Terrestrial Ecosystem: Implications for Lumbricus terrestris \(Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae\) | Environmental Science & Technology \(acs.org\).](#)

Hurley, R. R., Nizzetto, L. (2018) Fate and occurrence of micro(nano) plastics in soils: Knowledge gaps and possible risks. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science and Health* 1, pp. 6-11. [Fate and occurrence of micro\(nano\)plastics in soils: Knowledge gaps and possible risks - ScienceDirect.](#)

Jekel, M. (2019) Scientific report on tyre and road wear particles, TRWP, in the aquatic environment a European TRWP Platform publication. [FINAL-Scientific-Report-on-Tyre-and-Road-Wear-Particles.pdf \(tyreandroadwear.com\)](#). Accessed: 19/12/2019.

Jenner, L.C., Sadofsky, L.R., Danopoulos, E., Rotchell, J.M. (2021). Household indoor microplastics within the Humber region (United Kingdom): Quantification and chemical characterisation of particles present. *Atmospheric Environment* 259 118512. [Household indoor microplastics within the Humber region \(United Kingdom\): Quantification and chemical characterisation of particles present - ScienceDirect.](#)

Jenner, L.C., Rotchell, J.M., Bennett, R.T., Cowen, M., Tentzeris, V., Sadofsky, L.R. (2022). Detection of microplastics in human lung tissue using μ FTIR spectroscopy. *Science of the Total Environment* 831, 154907. [Detection of microplastics in human lung tissue using \$\mu\$ FTIR spectroscopy - ScienceDirect.](#)

Kim, D., Chae, Y., An, Y.-J. (2017) Mixture toxicity of nickel and microplastics with different functional groups on **Daphnia magna**. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 21, 12852-12858. [Mixture Toxicity of Nickel and Microplastics with Different Functional Groups on Daphnia magna | Environmental Science & Technology \(acs.org\).](#)

Koelmans, A. A., Nor, N. H. M., Hermesen, E., Kooi, M., Mintenig, S. M., De France, J. (2019) Microplastics in freshwaters and drinking water: Critical review and assessment of data quality. *Water Research* 155, pp. 410-422. Koelmans, A.A., Redondo-Hasselerharm, P.E., Nor, N.H.M., de Ruijter, V.N., Mintenig, S.M., Kooi, M. (2022a). Risk assessment of microplastic particles.

Nature Review Materials, 7:138-152. [Risk assessment of microplastic particles | Nature Reviews Materials.](#)

Koelmans, A.A., Diepens, N.J., Nor, N.H.M. (2022b). Weight of evidence for the microplastic vector effect in the context of chemical risk assessment. Chapter 6, Microplastic in the Environment: Pattern and Process (Editor Michael. S., Bank. [978-3-030-78627-4.pdf \(oapen.org\).](#)

Kole, P. J., Löhr, A. J., Van Belleghem, F. G. A. J. and Ragas, A. M. J. (2017) Wear and Tear of Tyres: A stealthy source of microplastics in the environment. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 14, 1265. [Wear and Tear of Tyres: A Stealthy Source of Microplastics in the Environment - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Kwon, J-H., Kim, J-W., Pham, T. D., Tarafdar, A., Hong, S., Chun, S-H., Lee, S-H., Kang, D-Y., Kimn, J-Y., Kim, S-B. and Jung, J. (2020) Microplastics in Food: A review on analytical methods and challenges. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17, 6710. [Microplastics in Food: A Review on Analytical Methods and Challenges - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Li, D., Shi, Y., Yang, L., Xiao, Kehoe, D. K., Gun'ko, Y. K., Boland, J. J. and Wang, J. J. (2020) Microplastic release from the degradation of polypropylene feeding bottles during infant formula preparation. Nature Food 1, pp. 746-754. [Microplastic release from the degradation of polypropylene feeding bottles during infant formula preparation - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Liebezeit, G. and Liebezeit, E. (2013) Non-pollen particulates in honey and sugar. Food Additives and Contaminants. Part A, Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure and Risk Assessment 30, pp. 2136–2140. [Non-pollen particulates in honey and sugar - PubMed \(nih.gov\).](#)

Liebezeit, G. and Liebezeit, E. (2014) Synthetic particles as contaminants in German beers. Food Additives and Contaminants. Part A, Chemistry,

Analysis, Control, Exposure and Risk Assessment 31, pp. 1574–1578.
[Synthetic particles as contaminants in German beers - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Lim, D., Jeong, J., Song, K.S., Sung, J.H., Oh, S.M., Choi, J. (2021) Inhalation toxicity of polystyrene micro(nano)plastics using modified OECD TG 412. Chemosphere 262: 128330. [Inhalation toxicity of polystyrene micro\(nano\)plastics using modified OECD TG 412 - ScienceDirect](#).

Lu, L., Wan, Z., Luo, T., Fu, Z. and Jin, Y. (2018) Polystyrene microplastics induce gut microbiota dysbiosis and hepatic lipid metabolism disorder in mice. Science of the Total Environment 631-632, pp. 449-458. [Polystyrene microplastics induce gut microbiota dysbiosis and hepatic lipid metabolism disorder in mice - ScienceDirect](#).

Lu, L., Lou, T., Zhao, Y., Cai, C., Fu, Z. and Jin, Y. (2019) Interaction between microplastics and microorganism as well as gut microbiota: A consideration on environmental animal and human health. Science of the Total Environment 667, pp. 94-100. [Interaction between microplastics and microorganism as well as gut microbiota: A consideration on environmental animal and human health - ScienceDirect](#).

Lusher, A., Hollman, P. and Mendoza-Hill, J. (2017) Microplastics in fisheries and aquaculture. Status of knowledge on their occurrence and implications for aquatic organisms and food safety. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 615. [Microplastics in fisheries and aquaculture \(fao.org\)](#)
Accessed: 14/12/2020.

Merola, M. and Affatato, S. (2019) Materials for hip prostheses: A review of wear and loading considerations. Materials 12, 495. [Materials for Hip Prostheses: A Review of Wear and Loading Considerations - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Merski, J. A., Johnson, W. D., Muzzio, M., Lyang, N. L. and Gaworski, C. L. (2008) Oral toxicity and bacterial mutagenicity studies with a spun bound

polyethylene and polyethylene terephthalate polymer fabric. International Journal of Toxicology 27, pp. 387-395. [Oral toxicity and bacterial mutagenicity studies with a spunbond polyethylene and polyethylene terephthalate polymer fabric - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

MILC. (2016) Mothers' information on lactation and collection (MILC) study. [The MILC Study](#) Accessed: 14/08/2020.

Nel, A. E., Mädler, L., Velegol, D., Xia, T., Hoek, E. M. V., Somasundaran, P., Klaessig, F., Castranova, V. and Thompson, M. (2009) Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio interface. Nature Materials 8, pp. 543–557. [Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio interface - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Ng, E-L., Huerta Lwanga, E., Eldridge, S. M., Johnston, P., Hu, H-W., Geissen, V., Chen. D. (2018) An overview of microplastic and nanoplastic pollution in agroecosystems. Science of the Total Environment 627, pp. 1377-1388. [An overview of microplastic and nanoplastic pollution in agroecosystems - ScienceDirect](#).

Nguyen, B., Claveau-Mallet, D., Hernandez, L. M., Xu, E. G., Farner, J. M. and Tufenkji, N. (2019) Separation and analysis of microplastics and nanoplastics in complex environmental samples. Accounts of Chemical Research 52, pp. 858-866. [Separation and Analysis of Microplastics and Nanoplastics in Complex Environmental Samples | Accounts of Chemical Research \(acs.org\)](#).

O'Brien, S., Rauert, C., Ribeiro, F., Okoffo, E.D., Burrows, S.D., O'Briend, J.W., Wang, X., Wright, S.L., Thomas, K.V. (2023). There's something in the air: A review of sources, prevalence and behaviour of microplastics in the atmosphere. Science of the Total Environment 874, 162193.

Pauly, J. L., Stegmeier, S. J., Allaart, H. A., Cheney, R. T., Zhang, P. J., Mayer, A. G. and Streck, R. J. (1998) Inhaled cellulosic and plastic fibres found in human lung tissue. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention* 7, pp. 419-428. [Inhaled cellulosic and plastic fibers found in human lung tissue - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Peez, N., Janiska, M-C. and Imhof, W. (2019) The first application of quantitative ¹H NMR spectroscopy as a simple and fast method of identification and quantification of microplastic particles (PE, PET, and PS). *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 411, pp. 823-833. [The first application of quantitative 1H NMR spectroscopy as a simple and fast method of identification and quantification of microplastic particles \(PE, PET, and PS\) | Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry \(springer.com\)](#).

Pimentel, J. C., Avila, R. and Lourenço, A. G. (1975) Respiratory disease caused by synthetic fibres: a new occupational disease. *Thorax* 30, pp. 204-219. [Respiratory disease caused by synthetic fibres: a new occupational disease. - PMC \(nih.gov\)](#).

Pivonsky, M., Cermakova, L., Novotna, K., Peer, P., Cajthaml, T. and Janda, V. (2018) Occurrence of microplastics in raw and treated drinking-water. *Science of the Total Environment* 643, pp. 1644-1651. [Occurrence of microplastics in raw and treated drinking water - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Prata, J.C. (2018). Airborne microplastics: Consequences to human health? *Environmental Pollution*, 234, 115-126. [Airborne microplastics: Consequences to human health? - ScienceDirect](#).

Qui, L., Lu, W., Tu, C., Li, X., Zhang, H., Wang, S., Chen, M., Zheng, X., Wang, Z., Lin, M., Zhang, Y., Zhong, C., Li, S., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Zhou, Y. (2023). Evidence of microplastics in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid among never-smokers: A prospective case series. *Environ Sci Technol*, 57(6):2435-2444. [Evidence of Microplastics in Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid among Never-Smokers: A Prospective Case Series - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

RAC. (2020) Opinion on an Annex XV dossier proposing restrictions on intentionally added microplastics. [b4d383cd-24fc-82e9-cccf-6d9f66ee9089 \(europa.eu\)](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:5a730193-cb17-2972-b595-93084c4f39c8). Accessed: 14/12/2020.

Rahman, A., Sarkar, A., Yadav, O.P., Achari, G., Slobodnik, J. (2021) Potential human health risks due to environmental exposure to nano- and microplastics and knowledge gaps: A scoping review. *Sci Total Environ.* 25; 757: 143872. [Potential human health risks due to environmental exposure to nano- and microplastics and knowledge gaps: A scoping review - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34811111/).

Rochman, C. M., Brookson, C., Bikker, J., Djuric, N., Earn, A., Bucci, K., Athey, S., Huntington, A., McIlwraith, H., Munno, K., De Frond, H., Kolomijeca, A., Erdle, L., Grbic, J., Bayoumi, M., Borrelle, S. B., Wu, T., Santoro, S., Werbowski, L. M., Zhu, X., Giles, R. K., Hamilton, B. M., Thaysen, C., Kaura, A., Klasios, N., Ead, L., Kim, J., Sherlock, C., Ho, A. and Hung, C. (2019) Rethinking microplastics as a diverse contaminant suite. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 38, pp. 703-711. [Rethinking microplastics as a diverse contaminant suite - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31111111/).

RUBIAC. (2007) RUBIAC statement on occupational cancers. [HSE - Rubber - RUBIAC Statement on Occupational Cancers](https://www.hse.gov.uk/occupational-cancers/). Accessed: 17/07/2020.

SAM. (2019) Environmental and Health Risks of Microplastic Pollution.: [ec_rtd_sam-mnp-opinion_042019.pdf \(europa.eu\)](https://ec.europa.eu/transport/docs/default-source/transport-and-environment/2019-04-20-sam-mnp-opinion.pdf?sfvrsn=1) Accessed: 17/08/2020.

SAPEA. (2019) A scientific perspective on microplastics in nature and society. Available at: [\[PDF\] A Scientific Perspective on Microplastics in Nature and Society | Semantic Scholar](https://www.semanticscholar.org/works/SAPEA-A-scientific-perspective-on-microplastics-in-nature-and-society)

SEAC. (2020) Opinion on an Annex XV dossier proposing restrictions on intentionally added microplastics. [5a730193-cb17-2972-b595-93084c4f39c8 \(europa.eu\)](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:5a730193-cb17-2972-b595-93084c4f39c8). Accessed: 14/12/2020.

Schneider, T., Burdett, G., Martinon, L., Brochard, P., Guillemin, M., Teichert, U. and Draeger, U. (1996) Ubiquitous fiber exposure in selected sampling sites in Europe. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health* 22(4), pp. 274-284. [Ubiquitous fiber exposure in selected sampling sites in Europe - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Sun, X-D., Yuan, X-Z., Jia, Y., Feng, L-J., Zhu, F-P., Dong, S-S., Liu, J., Kong, X., Tian, H., Duan, J-L., Ding, Z., Wang, S-G., Xing, B. (2020) Differentially charged nanoplastics demonstrate distinct accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 15, 755-760. [Differentially charged nanoplastics demonstrate distinct accumulation in Arabidopsis thaliana | Nature Nanotechnology](#).

Teuten, E. L., Saquing, J. M., Knappe, D. R. U., Barlaz, M. A., Jonsson, S., Björn, A., Rowland, S. J., Thompson, R. C., Galloway, T. S., Yamashita, R., Ochi, D., Watanuki, Y., Moore, C., Viet, P. H., Tana, T. S., Prudente, M. P., Boonyatumanond, R., Zakaria, M. P., Akkhavong, K., Ogata, Y., Hirai, H., Iwasa, S., Mizukawa, K., Hagino, Y., Imamura, A., Saha, M. and Takada, H. (2009) Transport and release of chemicals from plastics to the environment and to wildlife. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 364. Article ID: 20080284. [Transport and release of chemicals from plastics to the environment and to wildlife - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Toussaint, B., Raffael, B., Angers-Loustau, A., Gilliland, D., Kestens, V., Petrillo, M., Rio-Echevarria, I.M. and Van den Eede, G. (2019) Review of micro- and nanoplastic contamination in the food chain. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A*, 36(5), pp. 639-673. [Review of micro- and nanoplastic contamination in the food chain - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Tsuda, A., Henry, F.S., Butler, J.P. (2013). Particle transport and deposition: basic physics and particle kinetics. *Compr Physiol*, 3(4): 1437-1471. [Particle transport and deposition: basic physics of particle kinetics - PMC \(nih.gov\)](#).

UKWIR. (2019) Sink to river – River to tap: A review of potential risks from nanoparticles and microplastics. [Sink to River - River to Tap. A review of potential risks from nanoparticles and microplastics - Drinking Water Inspectorate \(dwi.gov.uk\)](#).

Uoginte, I., Vailionyte, A., Skapas, M., Bolanos, D., Bagurskiene, E., Gruslys, V., Aldonyte, R., Bycenkiene, S. (2023). New evidence of the presence of micro- and nanoplastic particles in bronchioalveolar lavage samples of clinical trial subjects. *Heliyon*, 9 e19665. [New evidence of the presence of micro- and nanoplastic particles in bronchioalveolar lavage samples of clinical trial subjects \(cell.com\)](#).

van Raamsdonk, L. W. D., van der Zande, M., Koelmans, A. A., Hoogenboom, R. L. A. P., Peters, R. J. B., Groot, M. J., Peijnenburg, A. A. C. M. and Weesepeel, Y. J. A. (2020) Current insights into monitoring, bioaccumulation, and potential health effects of microplastics present in the food chain. *Foods* 9, 72. [Current Insights into Monitoring, Bioaccumulation, and Potential Health Effects of Microplastics Present in the Food Chain - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Verschoor, A. J. (2015) Towards a definition of microplastics. [Towards a definition of microplastics \(rivm.nl\)](#). Accessed: 04/08/2020.

Verschoor, A., de Valk, E. (2016) Emission of microplastics and potential mitigation measures: Abrasive cleaning agents, paints and tyre wear RIVM Report 2016-0026. [Emission of microplastics and potential mitigation measures \(rivm.nl\)](#). Accessed: 17/08/2020.

Villarrubia-Gómez, P., Cornell, S.E. and Fabres, J. (2018). Marine plastic pollution as a planetary boundary threat–The drifting piece in the sustainability puzzle. *Marine Policy*, 96, pp. 213-220. [Marine plastic pollution as a planetary boundary threat – The drifting piece in the sustainability puzzle - ScienceDirect](#).

Wagner, S., Hüffer, T. Klöckner, P., Wehrhahn, M., Hofmann, T. and Reemtsma, T. (2018) Tire wear particles in the aquatic environment - A review on generation, analysis, occurrence, fate and effects. Water Research, 139, pp. 83-100. [Tire wear particles in the aquatic environment - A review on generation, analysis, occurrence, fate and effects - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Walker, D.I., Baker-Austin, C., Smith, A., Thorpe, K., Bakir, A., Galloway, T., Ganther, S., Gaze, W., Lewis, C., Quill, E., Russel, J., van Hoytema, N. (2022). A critical review of microbiological colonisation of nano- and microplastics (NMP) and their significance to the food chain. [A critical review of microbiological colonisation of nano and microplastics and their significance to the food chain](#).

Wheeler, K.E., Chetwynd, A.J., Fahy, K.M., Hong, B.S., Tochihuitl. J.A., Foster, L.A., Lynch, I. (2021). Environmental dimensions of the protein corona. Nature Nanotechnology, **16**,617-629. [Environmental dimensions of the protein corona | Nature Nanotechnology](#).

Winkler, A., Santo, N., Ortenzi, M. A., Bolzoni, E., Bacchetta, R. and Tremolada, P. (2019) Does mechanical stress cause microplastic release from plastic water bottles? Water Research 116, 115082. [Does mechanical stress cause microplastic release from plastic water bottles? - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

Winkler, A.S., Cherubini, A., Rusconi, F., Santo, N., Madaschi, L., Pistoni, C., Moschetti, G., Sarnicola, M.L., Crosti, M., Rosso, L., Tremolada, P., Lazzari, L., Bacchetta, R. (2022). Human airway organoids and microplastic fibers: A new exposure model for emerging contaminants. Environment International, 163, 107200. [Human airway organoids and microplastic fibers: A new exposure model for emerging contaminants - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#).

WHO. (2013) Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution – REVIHAAP Project Technical Report. [REVIHAAP Final technical report final version \(who.int\)](#). Accessed: 03/01/2020.

WHO. (2019) Microplastics in drinking-water. [Microplastics in drinking-water \(who.int\)](#) Accessed: 17/08/2020.

WHO. (2022) Dietary and inhalation exposure to nano- and microplastic and potential implications for human health particles. [9789240054608-eng.pdf \(who.int\)](#).

Wright, S., L., Ulke, J., Font, A., Chan, K. L. A. and Kelly, F. J. (2020) Atmospheric microplastic deposition in an urban environment and an evaluation of transport. Environment International 136, 105411. [Atmospheric microplastic deposition in an urban environment and an evaluation of transport \(sciencedirectassets.com\)](#).

Yao, J., Wen, J., Li, H., Yang, Y. (2022) Surface functional groups determine adsorption of pharmaceuticals and personal care products on polypropylene microplastics. Journal of Hazardous Materials, Vol 423, Part B, 127131. [Surface functional groups determine adsorption of pharmaceuticals and personal care products on polypropylene microplastics - ScienceDirect](#).

Yu, X., Xu, Y., Lang, M., Huang, D., Guo, X., Zhu, L. (2022). New insights on metal ions accelerating the aging behavior of polystyrene microplastics: Effects of different excess reactive oxygen species. Science of The Total Environment. Volume 821, 153457. [New insights on metal ions accelerating the aging behavior of polystyrene microplastics: Effects of different excess reactive oxygen species - ScienceDirect](#).

Zhang, Q., Xu, E.G., Li, J., Chen, Q., Ma, L., Zeng, E.Y., Shi, H. (2020). A review of microplastics in table salt, drinking water and air: Direct human exposure. Environmental Science & Technology, 54(7), 3740-3751. [A Review of Microplastics in Table Salt, Drinking Water, and Air: Direct Human Exposure | Environmental Science & Technology \(acs.org\)](#).

Zhao, Q., Ma, C., White, J. C., Dhankher, O. P., Zhang, X., Zhang, S. and Xing, B. (2017) Quantitative evaluation of multi-wall carbon nanotube uptake by terrestrial plants. Carbon 114, pp. 661-670. [Quantitative evaluation of multi-wall carbon nanotube uptake by terrestrial plants - ScienceDirect.](#)

Zhu, K., Hanzhong, J., Jiang, W., Sun, Y., Zhang, C., Liu, Z., Wang, T., Guo, X., Zhu, L. (2022). The first observation of the formation of persistent aminoxyl radicals and reactive nitrogen species on photoirradiated nitrogen-containing microplastics. Environ. Sci. Technol. 56, 2, 779-789. [The First Observation of the Formation of Persistent Aminoxyl Radicals and Reactive Nitrogen Species on Photoirradiated Nitrogen-Containing Microplastics | Environmental Science & Technology \(acs.org\).](#)

Zhu, K., Hanzhong, J., Zhao, S., Xia, T., Guo, X., Wang, T., Zhu, L. (2019). Formation of environmentally persistent free radicals on microplastics under light irradiation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 14, 8177-8186. [Formation of Environmentally Persistent Free Radicals on Microplastics under Light Irradiation | Environmental Science & Technology \(acs.org\).](#)

Zhu, K., Jia, H., Sun, Y., Dai, Y., Zhang, C., Guo, X., Wang, T., Zhu, L. (2020). Long-term phototransformation of microplastics under stimulated sunlight irradiation in aquatic environments : Roles of reactive oxygen species. Water Research, Volume 173, 115564. [Long-term phototransformation of microplastics under simulated sunlight irradiation in aquatic environments: Roles of reactive oxygen species - ScienceDirect.](#)

Zucarello, P., Ferrante, M., Cristaldi, A., Copat, C., Grasso, A., Sangregorio, D., Fiore, M., and Oliveri Conti, G. (2019) Exposure to microplastics (<10 µm) associated to plastic bottles mineral water consumption: The first quantitative study. Water Research 157, pp. 365-371. [Exposure to microplastics \(<10 µm\) associated to plastic bottles mineral water consumption: The first quantitative study - ScienceDirect.](#)

