TOX/2023/19

Committee on the Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment.

Interim position statement on per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances – first draft

Introduction

- 1. The COT has considered per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) on a number of previous occasions, and recently published a <u>statement</u> on the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) opinion "Risk to human health related to the presence of perfluoroalkyl substances in food" in which the scientific basis of the new EFSA tolerable weekly intake (TWI) for the sum of four PFAS was reviewed. The COT considered there were substantial uncertainties over the derivation of the TWI. The Committee has subsequently been asked to consider what further guidance can be provided to support human health risk assessments undertaken by UK Government Departments and Agencies.
- 2. At the COT meeting in October 2022, it was agreed to produce a draft interim statement briefly summarising current opinions about the EFSA TWI and other uncertainties in the evidence base for PFAS and outlining future work on PFAS, including an evaluation of various toxicological endpoints. The first draft statement is attached at Annex A to this paper.

Questions for the Committee

- 3. The Committee is asked to consider:
 - a) Does the Committee have any comments on the general structure and content of this draft interim position statement?

b) Does the Committee have any further suggestions for the future work planned?

IEH Consulting under contract supporting the UK HSA COT Secretariat March 2023



ANNEX A to TOX/2023/19

Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment

Interim position statement on per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances – first draft

First draft position paper

Secretariat March 2023

Committee on the Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment.

Interim position statement on per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances – First Draft

Background

- 1. The COT has considered per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) on a number of previous occasions, and recently published a <u>statement</u> on the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) opinion in which the scientific basis of the new EFSA tolerable weekly intake (TWI) for the sum of four PFAS was reviewed. The Committee has subsequently been asked to consider what further guidance can be provided to support human health risk assessments undertaken by UK Government Departments and Agencies.
- 2. An initial paper on further COT work on PFAS was discussed at the October 2022 COT meeting (TOX/2022/53), which was followed by a paper outlining all health-based guidance values (HBGVs), presented in December 2022 (TOX/2022/67).

Uncertainties in the evidence base

3. The Committee considers there are a number of uncertainties with regards to the critical endpoint of decreased vaccine response in children, used as a basis for the EFSA TWI and draft US EPA RfDs for PFOA and PFOS, with respect to the biological significance of the response and reservations concerning the critical studies (Abraham et al. (2020) and Grandjean et al. (2012)). In the statement on the EFSA TWI the COT has also provided a number of reservations with respect to some of the modelling undertaken to determine the TWI.

- 4. In considering the wider evidence base, the Committee notes that a number of different approaches have been adopted by other authoritative bodies in deriving their HBGVs due to differences in critical study and endpoint selected, resulting in a range of available HBGVs for a number of different PFAS. More information is available in the paper presented at the December 2022 meeting (TOX/2022/67).
- 5. The Committee notes other challenges regarding the risk assessment of PFAS including the lack of data for most PFAS and consequently HBGVs only being derived for a small number and the uncertainty over how best to assess all detected PFAS, such as by summing all PFAS present or grouping similar substances. More information is available in the paper presented at the October 2022 meeting (TOX/2022/53).
- 6. Due to the uncertainties noted and the need for more guidance to support UK Government Departments and Agencies undertaking risk assessments for PFAS, the COT will undertake its own consideration of the evidence base and risk assessment.

Future COT work

- 7. Future COT work will be undertaken by a subgroup of Members and will include:
 - an independent review of toxicological and epidemiological data, focusing on a number of critical endpoints, and considering the biological relevance of the endpoints assessed.
 - consideration of the toxicokinetics of PFAS.
 - whether and how different PFAS can be grouped for assessment
 - deriving a HBGV or a number of HBGVs as the data allow.

Interim COT recommendation

8. The Committee acknowledges that a further review of PFAS will be an extensive and lengthy undertaking. In the meantime, where risk assessments are

undertaken for the potential risks associated with exposure to PFAS, consideration should be made of the available HBGVs for the specific compounds identified, recognising the uncertainties with respect to the critical effects and modelling approaches adopted.

COT position paper 2023/tbc

Date tbc 2023



References

Abraham K, Mielke H, Fromme H, Volkel W, Menzel J, Peiser M, Zepp F, Willich SN and Weikert C, 2020. Internal exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) and biological marker in 101 healthy 1-year-old children: associations between levels of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and vaccine response. Archives of Toxicology, 94, 2131–2147.

Grandjean P, Andersen EW, Budtz-Jorgensen E, Nielsen F, Molbak K, Weihe P and Heilmann C, 2012. Serum vaccine antibody concentrations in children exposed to perfluorinated compounds. JAMA, 307, 391–397.

https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.2034

Abbreviations

BMD Benchmark dose

EFSA European Food Safety Authority

HBGV Health-based guidance values

PFAS Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances

TWI Tolerable weekly intake