References

In this guide

23. [Annex A - References](#)

Akaike, H., 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle., in: Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Information Theory. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 267–281.

Bokkers, B.G.H., Slob, W., 2007. Deriving a data-based interspecies assessment factor using the NOAEL and the benchmark dose approach. Crit Rev Toxicol 37, 355–373.

Bosgra, S., van der Voet, H., Boon, P.E., Slob, W., 2009. An integrated probabilistic framework for cumulative risk assessment of common mechanism chemicals in food: an example with organophosphorus pesticides. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 54, 124–133.

Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2004. Multimodel Inference: Understanding AIC and BIC in Model Selection. Sociological Methods & Research 33, 261–304.

Clyde, M.A., 2003. Model Averaging (Chapter) In S. J. Press (Ed.), Subjective and Objective Bayesian Statistics: Principles, Models, and Applications. John Wiley & Sons.

COT, 2020. The Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment: Assessing chemical risks in food. [Assessing chemical risks in food | Committee on Toxicity](#)

Crump, K.S., 1984. A new method for determining allowable daily intakes. Fundam Appl Toxicol 4, 854–871.

Dekkers, S., de Heer, C., Rennen, M.A.J., 2001. Critical effect sizes in toxicological risk assessment: a comprehensive and critical evaluation. Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology 10, 33–52.

EFSA, 2022. Guidance on the use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment. EFSA Journal 20, e07584. [Guidance on the use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment | EFSA (europa.eu)](#)

EFSA, 2017. Update: use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment. EFSA Journal 15, e04658. [Update: use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment - - 2017 - EFSA Journal - Wiley Online Library](#)

EFSA, 2009. Guidance of the Scientific Committee on Use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment. EFSA Journal 7, 1150. [Guidance of the Scientific](#)

[Committee on Use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment - - 2009 - EFSA Journal - Wiley Online Library](#)

EFSA, 2005. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on a request from EFSA related to A Harmonised Approach for Risk Assessment of Substances Which are both Genotoxic and Carcinogenic. EFSA Journal 3, 282. [Opinion of the Scientific Committee on a request from EFSA related to A Harmonised Approach for Risk Assessment of Substances Which are both Genotoxic and Carcinogenic (wiley.com)](#)

EFSA Workshop, 2023. Workshop on EFSA's updated Guidance on the use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment. [Workshop on EFSA's updated Guidance on the use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment | EFSA (europa.eu)](#)

FAO/WHO, 2020. Principles and methods for the risk assessment of chemicals in food Environmental health criteria (240) Chapter 5: Dose-Response Assessment and Derivation of Health-Based Guidance Values. [chapter5-dose-response.pdf (who.int)](#)

FAO/WHO, 2006. Safety evaluation of certain contaminants in food / prepared by the sixty-fourth meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Food additives series; 55. [Safety evaluation of certain contaminants in food / prepared by the sixty-fourth meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives](#)

FAO/WHO, 2005. International Programme on Chemical Safety, Principles for Modelling Dose-Response for the Risk Assessment of Chemicals. Environmental health criteria; 239. Geneva, World Health Organization. [Environmental Health Criteria 239: PRINCIPLES FOR MODELLING DOSE–RESPONSE FOR THE RISK ASSESSMENT OF CHEMICALS (inchem.org)](#)

FAO/WHO, 1990. International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 104: Principles for the toxicological assessment of pesticide residues in food. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization. [Pesticide residues in food, principles for the toxicological assessment of (EHC 104, 1990) (inchem.org)](#)

Gehlhaus, M.W., Gift, J.S., Hogan, K.A., Kopylev, L., Schlosser, P.M., Kadry, A.-R., 2011. Approaches to cancer assessment in EPA's Integrated Risk Information System. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 254, 170–180.

Gephart, L.A., Salminen, W.F., Nicolich, M.J., Pelekis, M., 2001. Evaluation of Subchronic Toxicity Data Using the Benchmark Dose Approach. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 33, 37–59.

Haber, L.T., Dourson, M.L., Allen, B.C., Hertzberg, R.C., Parker, A., Vincent, M.J., Maier, A., Boobis, A.R., 2018. Benchmark dose (BMD) modeling: current practice, issues, and challenges. Crit Rev Toxicol 48, 387–415.

Ji, C., Weissmann, A., Shao, K., 2022. A computational system for Bayesian benchmark dose estimation of genomic data in BBMD. Environ Int 161,

Kang, S.H., Kodell, R.L., Chen, J.J., 2000. Incorporating model uncertainties along with data uncertainties in microbial risk assessment. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 32, 68–72.

Kavlock, R.J., Allen, B.C., Faustman, E.M., Kimmel, C.A., 1995. Dose-response assessments for developmental toxicity. IV. Benchmark doses for fetal weight changes. Fundam Appl Toxicol 26, 211–222.

Shao, K., Gift, J.S., 2014. Model Uncertainty and Bayesian Model Averaged Benchmark Dose Estimation for Continuous Data. Risk Anal 34, 101–120.

Shao, K., Shapiro, A.J., 2018. A Web-Based System for Bayesian Benchmark Dose Estimation. Environ Health Perspect 126, 017002.

Slob, W., 2014. Benchmark dose and the three Rs. Part I. Getting more information from the same number of animals. Critical Reviews in Toxicology 44, 557–567.

Slob, W., 2002. Dose-Response Modeling of Continuous Endpoints. Toxicological Sciences 66, 298–312.

Slob, W., Setzer, R.W., 2014. Shape and steepness of toxicological dose-response relationships of continuous endpoints. Crit Rev Toxicol 44, 270–297.

US EPA, 2022. Benchmark Dose Software User Guide Version 3.3. [BMDS Version 3.3 User Guide (Oct 2022) | Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS) | US EPA](#)

US EPA, 2017. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Categorical Regression (CatReg) User Guide (Version 3.0.1.7). [CatReg 3.1 User Guide (epa.gov)](#)

US EPA, 2012. Benchmark Dose Technical Guidance. [benchmark dose technical guidance (epa.gov)](#)

Wheeler, M.W., Bailer, A.J., 2009. Comparing model averaging with other model selection strategies for benchmark dose estimation. Environ Ecol Stat 16, 37–51.

Wheeler, M.W., Bailer, A.J., 2008. Model Averaging Software for Dichotomous Dose Response Risk Estimation. Journal of Statistical Software 26, 1–15.

Wheeler, M.W., Bailer, A.J., 2007. Properties of Model-Averaged BMDLs: A Study of Model Averaging in Dichotomous Response Risk Estimation. Risk Analysis 27, 659–670.

Yang, L., Allen, B.C., Thomas, R.S., 2007. BMDExpress: a software tool for the benchmark dose analyses of genomic data. BMC Genomics 8, 387.