

# Potential future discussion items - horizon scanning

Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning

## Background

### In this guide

[In this guide](#)

1. [Background - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
2. [Other Updates - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
3. [Balance of expertise on the Committee - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
4. [Questions on which the views of the Committee are sought: - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
5. [References - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)

This is a paper for discussion. It does not reflect the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

1. The Committee Terms of Reference specify “To advise at the request of” (.....government departments). Therefore, the work of the Committee is primarily reactive and the agendas are set by the Secretariat based upon the need for advice from Government Departments and Agencies particularly, but not exclusively, the Food Standards Agency (FSA) and the UK Health Security Agency (HSA).
2. The Code of Practice for Scientific Advisory Committees (Office of Science and Technology, December 2021), specifies that “committees should ensure that they have mechanisms in place that allow them to consider on a regular basis whether new issues in their particular areas of responsibility are likely to emerge for which scientific advice or research might be needed”.

3. Members have agreed that it would be useful to have an annual agenda item to discuss potential future topics. A list of upcoming topics is also displayed on the Committee's website: [Forthcoming COT meetings | Committee on Toxicity](#).

4. As Members are aware, now that the UK has left the European Union the authorisation of regulated products that would have been done by EFSA is being done in the UK. Two Joint Expert Groups (JEGs) have been established to cover the authorisation of regulated products and these are overseen by the COT who will provide challenge, comment and assurance of their work. The FCMJEG covers food contact materials and AEJEG covers food additives, enzymes and other regulated products. An additional AEJEG working group is working solely on the reauthorisation of smoke flavourings.

5. Requests for COT advice are also being received from the Nutrition, Labelling Composition and Standards Group which is a risk management group for the 4 countries of the UK and covers legislative areas such as infant formula and follow on foods, food supplements, and nutrient sources where the policy lead is the responsibility of the Department of Health and Social Care in England, FSA Northern Ireland, the Scottish Government and the Welsh Assembly; topics previously raised by NLCS include green tea catechins, fortificants in bread and flour and folic acid hypersensitivity.

6. This has been reflected in the agendas of the Committee in the last few years. It is envisaged that the COT will continue to review Committee Advice Documents from AEJEG and FCMJEG. However, the Secretariat are not aware of any requests for advice from NLCS in the near future.

Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning

## Other Updates

### In this guide

[In this guide](#)

1. [Background - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
2. [Other Updates - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
3. [Balance of expertise on the Committee - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)

4. [Questions on which the views of the Committee are sought: - Potential future discussion items - horizon scanning](#)
5. [References - Potential future discussion items - horizon scanning](#)

This is a paper for discussion. It does not reflect the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

## **FSA-funded Computational Toxicology Fellowship and LIDo PhD student in AI**

15. [The FSA and COT have been reviewing New Approach Methodologies \(NAMs\)](#) to scope the best scientific methodologies available to be used in risk assessment of chemicals in foods and the environment and understand how these can be incorporated in a regulatory context with validation approaches.
16. The FSA have recruited a computational toxicology fellow at the University of Birmingham and a PhD Student (London Interdisciplinary Doctoral Program-LIDo-TOX AI) at King's College London. The aims of the projects are to develop *in silico* tools (*i.e.* artificial intelligence machine learning) for toxicological prediction of chemicals through case studies and proof of concept studies. The fellow and student will also work alongside other government departments to understand how NAMs will improve indicative levels of safety in chemical risk assessment.
17. The programme of work in the fellowship consists of (i) scoping the FSA's problem space in chemical risk assessment and mapping this to our computational NAMs solution space, thereby aiding the FSA to develop a strategy for the utilisation of NAMs; (ii) ensuring that the FSA is trained in the use of computational NAMs by delivering training courses, including an introduction to existing and emerging NAM technologies, and topics selected from the FSA's NAM strategy; (iii) developing and evaluating confidence in a new hazard assessment workflow that integrates *in vitro* omics toxicity data, benchmark dose modelling and PBPK modelling to serve as the basis for quantitative risk assessment for human health, *i.e.*, towards generating human health-based safety thresholds for FSA and other regulators; and (iv) developing and delivering a second case study that fortifies the community-wide acceptance of 21st century methods in risk assessments, to accelerate the successful application of NAMs within the FSA.

18. The postdoctoral fellow continues to work on the internationally accepted case study ([APCRA meeting, Ottawa, CA, 2024](#)) Utilising in silico, in vitro and 'omics New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) for priority-setting and safety assessment of tropane alkaloids (TAs) as potential food contaminants.

19. This study aims to generate toxicity data for several more TAs, determine the most potent TA, and prioritize substances to inform UK monitoring decisions. A four-tiered approach was implemented to tackle these objectives. Tier 1 focuses on literature and databases searches, in silico target identification and potency prediction; tier 2 focuses on in vitro potency estimation; tier 3 focuses on multi-omics technologies applied to a subset of TAs to derive molecular PoDs; and tier 4 focuses on quantitative in vitro to in vivo extrapolation to derive health-based guidance values.

20. Preliminary results in Tier 1 revealed (a) neurotoxicity-related pathways such as neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, signalling pathways (calcium, sphingolipid and cAMP), synapses (cholinergic, serotonergic, and dopaminergic), chemical carcino-genesis and pathways of neurodegeneration; (b) neurotoxicity-related MIEs such as muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChRs) subtypes M1, M2, M3, M4, and M5; sodium-dependent transporters for dopamine, serotonin, and noradrenaline; sigma non-opioid intracellular receptor 1; and 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 3A. Potential interactions between the TAs and all relevant targets were analysed using molecular docking.

21. Additionally, binary and regression QSAR models were generated and validated in compliance with OECD principles to predict the potency of each TA against all mAChRs subtypes, enabling ten TAs (atropine, 2-hydroxymethyl atropine, scopolamine, anisodine, aposcopolamine, convolvine, fillalbine, convolamine, noratropine, and O-acetylscopolamine) to be prioritized for progression to tier 2.

22. Our recent work on tropane alkaloids (Silva et al., 2025) has been presented at [QSAR Milan 2025](#) and was short-listed for the Alfonso Lostia Award on Food Safety research at EuroTox 2025.

23. The programme of work in the PhD up to the present is composed of 4 parts: (1) Development of QSAR models of mutagenicity, using feed-forward neural networks and an exploration of dimensionality reduction techniques, before moving on to Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) and mining of Structural Alerts (SAs), (2) Case study on BFRs, using Graph Attention Networks (GATs) to predict in-vivo doses and concentrations relevant to neurotoxicity,

developmental toxicity and reproductive toxicity, via both graph-regression over molecular graphs and node-regression over knowledge graphs containing numerous molecules (Kalian et al., 2024); (3) Case study on SARMs, using transfer learning on GCNs to predict Drug-Induced Liver Injury (DILI), Drug-Induced Renal Injury (DIRI) and Drug-Induced Cardiotoxicity (DICT) (Kalian et al 2025); (4) Exploration of different GNN architectures – GCNs, GATs and Graph Isomorphism Networks (GINs), in order to characterise the most advantageous GNN architectures for navigating varying toxicological assay data environments. (Kalian et al 2025).

24. The PhD student presented this work at [QSAR Milan 2025](#) and SOT 2025.

## **FSA Research Programme**

25. The FSA research strategy has seen the consolidation of all research in the portfolio into a series of programmes by area of research interest.

26. Current projects in the Chemical, Radiological and Hypersensitivity Research Evidence Programme include:

- Determination of the bioavailability of hydrogen cyanide following consumption. This project aims to determine the bioavailability of hydrogen cyanide from a range of foods.
- Method development for PFAS in fruit and vegetables. The aim of this project is to develop analytical techniques to measure 30 pre-identified PFAS in a range of fruit and vegetable matrices.

Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning

## **Balance of expertise on the Committee**

### **In this guide**

[In this guide](#)

1. [Background - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
2. [Other Updates - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)

3. [Balance of expertise on the Committee - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
4. [Questions on which the views of the Committee are sought: - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
5. [References - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)

This is a paper for discussion. It does not reflect the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

27. It has previously been agreed that the following types of specialist expertise are required by the Committee for some or all of its evaluations:

Analytical techniques

Bioinformatics

Biochemistry

Cell biology

Clinical practice

Dietary exposure assessment

Endocrinology

Environmental exposure assessment

Epidemiology

Human toxicology

Immunology

Mathematical Modelling

Mechanistic toxicology

Molecular biology

Neurotoxicology

Nutrition

Paediatrics

Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacology

Probabilistic modelling

Reproductive toxicology

Respiratory toxicology

Risk assessment

Statistical aspects of experimental design

Statistics

Systems biology

Toxicogenomics

Toxicological pathology

Xenobiotic metabolism

28. It would not be necessary to have an individual member for each listed expertise as some people would have a combination of the required skills. Additional key experts can also be invited to attend meetings for specific topics to supplement missing knowledge.

29. As Members are aware recruitment to the FSA Scientific Advisory Committees is now carried out annually by a central team, starting in the Autumn , while this process was paused for 2026, it is envisaged that recruitment for 2027 will start later in 2026. The balance of expertise set out below is used to guide the process.

30. Members are invited to comment on whether this list is still appropriate and if there are important gaps amongst the current membership or in light of possible future developments.

Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning

## **Questions on which the views of the Committee are sought:**

### **In this guide**

[In this guide](#)

1. [Background - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
2. [Other Updates - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
3. [Balance of expertise on the Committee - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
4. [Questions on which the views of the Committee are sought: - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
5. [References - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)



This is a paper for discussion. It does not reflect the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

31. Members are invited to comment on each of the above areas and also to consider the following questions:

- i) Do Members have additional suggestions for future topics for:
  - Specific issues to be included as routine agenda items.
  - Focussed topics for one-day meetings or workshops.
  - Generic issues requiring establishment of a Working Group.
- ii) Do Members consider that consideration of nanoplastics would be worthwhile?
- iii) Do Members have any proposals for research that FSA should fund in order to improve future COT risk assessments?
- iii) Do Members have any comments on the balance of skills on the Committee?
- iv) Members are reminded that they may draw particular issues to the attention of the Secretariat at any time.

## **Secretariat**

### **January 2026**

Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning

# **References**

## **In this guide**

[In this guide](#)

1. [Background - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
2. [Other Updates - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)
3. [Balance of expertise on the Committee - Potential future discussion items – horizon scanning](#)

4. [Questions on which the views of the Committee are sought: - Potential future discussion items - horizon scanning](#)
5. [References - Potential future discussion items - horizon scanning](#)

This is a paper for discussion. It does not reflect the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

CoPSAC (2021) [Code of Practice for Scientific Advisory Committees and Councils: CoPSAC 2021 - GOV.UK](#)

Kalian, A.D., Benfenati, E., Gott, D., Potter, C., Dorne, J.L., Osborne, O.J., Guo, M. and Hogstrand, C., 2024. P05-37 Graph attention networks using knowledge graphs, for predicting novel points of departure for brominated flame retardants. **Toxicology Letters**, **399**, pp.S146-S147.

Kalian, A.D., Silva, A.C., Lee, J., Dorne, J.L.C., Potter, C., Benfenati, E., Osborne, O.J., Guo, M. and Hogstrand, C., 2025. Predicting Organ-Specific Toxicity of Selective Androgen Receptor Modulators, using Transfer Learning on Graph Convolutional Networks. **bioRxiv**, pp.2025-08.

Kalian, A.D., Otte, L., Lee, J., Benfenati, E., Dorne, J.L., Potter, C., Osborne, O.J., Guo, M. and Hogstrand, C., 2025. Comparison of Optimised Geometric Deep Learning Architectures, over Varying Toxicological Assay Data Environments. **arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.17775**.

Silva, A., Loizou, G., McNally, K., McDonald, D., He, S., Kalian, A., Osborne, O., Potter, C., Colbourne, J. and Viant, M., 2025, September. Utilising in silico, in vitro and omics New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) for priority-setting and safety assessment of tropane alkaloids as potential food contaminants. In **Toxicology Letters** (Vol. 411).