

References

In this guide

[In this guide](#)

1. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - Introduction](#)
2. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - Background](#)
3. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - Stepwise read-across guidance](#)
4. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - Applicability domain of read-across](#)
5. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - Conclusions](#)
6. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - Questions for the Committee](#)
7. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - Abbreviations](#)
8. [Summary of the EFSA Scientific Opinion on the Guidance on the use of Read-across for Chemical Safety Assessment in Food and Feed - References](#)

This is a paper for discussion. It does not reflect the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

Benigni R, Serafimova R, Parra Morte JM, Battistelli CL, Bossa C, Giuliani A, Fioravanzo E, Bassan A, Gatnik MF, Rathman J, Yang C, Mostrag-Szlichtyng A, Sacher O & Tcheremenskaia O, 2020. Evaluation of the applicability of existing (Q)SAR models for predicting the genotoxicity of pesticides and similarity analysis related with genotoxicity of pesticides for facilitating of grouping and read across:

An EFSA funded project. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 114, 104658.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2020.104658>

ECHA (European Chemicals Agency), 2017. Read-Across Assessment Framework (RAAF). European Chemicals Agency. [Read-Across Assessment Framework \(RAAF\) - Publications Office of the EU](#)

ECHA/EFSA (European Chemicals Agency/European Food Safety Authority), 2023. Guidance document on the impact of water treatment processes on residues of active substances or their metabolites in water abstracted for the production of drinking water. EFSA Journal, 21(8), 8194.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.8194>

EFSA CONTAM Panel (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain), 2023. Risk assessment of N-nitrosamines in food. EFSA Journal, 21(3), 7884.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7884>

EFSA FAF Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Flavourings), 2021. Scientific Guidance for the preparation of applications on smoke flavouring primary products. EFSA Journal, 19(3), 6435. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6435>

EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), 2018. Guidance on Uncertainty Analysis in Scientific Assessments. EFSA Journal, 16(1), 5123.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5123>

EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), 2019. Guidance on the use of the Threshold of Toxicological Concern approach in food safety assessment. EFSA Journal, 17(6), 5708. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5708>

EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), 2025. Guidance on the use of read-across for chemical safety assessment in food and feed. EFSA Journal, 23(7), e9586.
<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2025.9586>

Irwan J, Simetska N, Wehr M, Kellner R & Escher SE, 2024. Read-Across Application for Food or Feed Ingredients. EFSA Supporting Publications, 21(7), EN-8811. <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2024.EN-8811>

OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development), 2017. Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, Second Edition. OECD Series on Testing and Assessment, No. 194, OECD Publishing, Paris.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264274679-en>

OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development), 2020a. Case study on the use of integrated approaches to testing and assessment for identification and characterisation of parkinsonian hazard liability of deguelin by an AOP-based testing and read across approach (ENV/JM/MONO(2020)22). OECD Publishing. [Case study on the use of integrated approaches to testing and assessment for identification and characterisation of parkinsonian hazard liability of deguelin by an AOP-based testing and read across approach -pdf](#)

OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development), 2020b. Case study on the use of integrated approaches to testing and assessment for mitochondrial complex-III mediated neurotoxicity of azoxystrobin - read-across to other strobilurins (ENV/JM/MONO(2020)23). OECD Publishing. [Case study on the use of integrated approaches to testing and assessment for mitochondrial complex-III mediated neurotoxicity of azoxystrobin - read-across to other strobilurins-pdf](#)

OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development), 2020c. Case study on the use of Integrated approaches to testing and assessment for read-across based filling of developmental toxicity data gap for methyl hexanoic acid (ENV/JM/MONO(2020)21). OECD Publishing. [Case study on the use of Integrated approaches to testing and assessment for read-across based filling of developmental toxicity data gap for methyl hexanoic acid -pdf](#)

Pestana CB, Firman JW & Cronin MTD, 2021. Incorporating lines of evidence from New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) to reduce uncertainties in a category based read-across: A case study for repeated dose toxicity. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 120, 104855. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2020.104855>