

Table 19

In this guide

[In this guide](#)

1. [Table 3 - Annex A](#)
2. [Table 4 - Annex A](#)
3. [Table 5 - Annex A](#)
4. [Table 6 - Annex A](#)
5. [Table 7 - Annex A](#)
6. [Table 8 - Annex A](#)
7. [Table 9 - Annex A](#)
8. [Table 10 - Annex A](#)
9. [Table 11 - Annex A](#)
10. [Table 12 - Annex A](#)
11. [Table 13 - Annex A](#)
12. [Table 14 - Annex A](#)
13. [Table 15 - Annex A](#)
14. [Table 16 - Annex A](#)
15. [Table 17 - Annex A](#)
16. [Table 18 - Annex A](#)
17. [Table 19 - Annex A](#)
18. [Table 20 - Annex A](#)
19. [Table 21 - Annex A](#)

This is a paper for discussion. This does not represent the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

Table 19 Repeated dose toxicity studies for PFSA's - PFOS

*Derived by contractor; ** calculated according to EFSA. (2012); NR – not reported; NA – not applicable; # - no. of animals studied per endpoint differs to the no. of animals treated.

Substance / CAS no. / purity / reference	Strain & species / sex / no. of animals	Dose (mg/kg bw/day) / vehicle / route of admin / duration / Guideline (GL) study) / Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) status	PFAS concentration (µg/mL / µg/g)	Observed effects at LOAEL (controls vs treated groups) Recovery (controls vs treated groups)	Published NOAEL / LOAEL (mg/kg bw/day)	Study author comm
---	--	---	--	---	--	-------------------------

Males (mean
 \pm SD):

↓ body
weight gain:
data only
reported in
figures.

↑ absolute
liver weight
(g): 9.3 ± 1.2
vs 16.4 ± 1.6 .

↑ relative
liver weight:
 $0.031 \pm$
 0.003 vs
 $0.057 \pm$
 0.003 .

↓ AST (U/L):
 69 ± 7.2 vs
 58 ± 3.8 on
day 2; $89 \pm$
 20.0 vs $64 \pm$
 5.2 on day 9.

↓ ALT (U/L):
 29 ± 4.0 vs
 26 ± 3.0 on
day 2; $32 \pm$
 6.3 vs $28 \pm$
 3.7 on day 9;
 63 ± 8.2 vs
 62 ± 7.2 on
day 16.

↓ TGs
(mg/dL): 120
 ± 36.6 vs 71
 ± 19.3 on day
16; 57 ± 9.3
vs 28 ± 6.3
on day 23.

PFOS (potassium salt)	APOE*3- Leiden.CETP mice. Male 6-8/dose.	Diet (vehicle).	At 6 mg/kg bw/day at 4-6 weeks (mean ± SD)	Males:		The po of PFAS affect lipopro metabo increas increas alkyl ch length.
				↑ liver weight: data only reported in figures.		
				↓ plasma TG: data only reported in figures.		
				↓ free cholesterol: data only reported in figures.		
				↓ non HDL cholesterol: data only reported in figures.		
CAS. No. 2795-39-3	Male 6-8/dose.	Diet, 4-6 weeks, OECD 407, GLP not stated.	Serum: 85.6- 124.7 ± 4.2- 9.5.	↓ HDL cholesterol: data only reported in figures.	Males: NA / 3*	The da sugges PFOS r plasma and tot cholest mainly impairi lipopro produc
↑ hepatic TG: data only reported in figures.						
↓ bile acid excretion: data only reported in figures						
Altered gene expression related to transcription factors,						

								Liver w princip target dietary exposu liver ef as evid by eith serum chemis microsc observ were la limited centrilo finding hypertro eosinoph hepatoc granule hepatoc pigmen hepatoc vacuola and an increas hepatoc adenoma the hig dietary group.
			0, 0.5, 2, 5 or 20 ppm in diet equivalent to 0, 0.024, 0.098, 0.242, 0.984 (males) and	At 0.024 mg/kg bw/day in males at week 105 (mean \pm SD) Serum: 1.31 \pm 1.30 Liver: 7.83 \pm 7.34.	Males: \uparrow hepatocellular centrilobular hypertrophy: 0/65 vs 4/55 #.	Males: 0.024 / 0.098.		
			0, 0.029, 0.120, 0.299, 1.251 (females).	At 0.098 mg/kg bw/day in males at week 105	\uparrow cystic hepatocellular degeneration: 5/65 vs 19/55.	Females: 0.024 / 0.098.	Increased liver tumour incidence BMDL10 (diet)	
PFOS (potassium salt)	Crl:CD®(SD) IGS BR rats.	Diet, 104 weeks,		Serum: 7.60 \pm 8.60	Females: \uparrow	7.9 and 8.0		increas
CAS no. Not given 86.9%.	Male and Female, 60-70/sex	Non-GL study, GLP not stated.		Liver: 26.40 \pm 20.40.	hepatocellular periportal vacuolation: 15/65 vs 22/55.	ppm in males and females respectively.		hepatoc adenoma the hig dietary group.
Butenhoff et al. (2012b)	/dose. Recovery group: 40/dose.	Recovery: 20 ppm in diet equivalent to 1.144 (males) or 1.385 (females)		At 0.024 mg/kg bw/day in females at week 105 Serum: 4.35 \pm 2.78 Liver: 12.9 \pm 6.81.	\downarrow serum total cholesterol at week 27: data only reported in figures. Recovery:	(serum) 62 and 92 μ g/mL in males and females respectively.		There v relative statisti signific otherw notable differen
		52 weeks treatment		At 0.098 mg/kg bw/day in females at week 102	Data not presented as animals only treated with	Recovery Males: NA / 1.144.		between control treated groups

				Males:	
				↑ lipid droplets: data only reported in figures.	
				↑ inflammation and apoptosis: data only reported in figures.	
PFOS		0 or 500 µg/l in drinking water equivalent to 109.	At 109 mg/kg bw/day (mean ± SE)	↑ TG: data only reported in figures.	Males: NA / 109*
(potassium salt)	C57BL/6 mice.	Drinking water.	Liver: 10.73 ± 1.19.	↑ CAT activities (data only reported in figures: 310 lipids changed.	
CAS No. 2795-39-3	Male	28 days,		Changes in hepatic lipidome.	
98%.	6/dose.	OECD 407,		Recovery not assessed.	
Chen et al. (2022)		GLP not stated.			

PFOS is to accu in mou livers t substit PFBS, v could b most importa contrib the diff in toxic strengt the sam exposu concen

PFOS exposu induce toxicity throug increas oxidati damag accum of TG.

PFOS (potassium salt) CAS no. Not given	Sprague-Dawley rats. Male, 10/dose (total 40/dose, 10 sacrificed on days 1, 28.	Diet, 7 days, Non-GLP study GLP not stated.	At 1.93 mg/kg bw/day on day 1 (mean \pm SD) Serum: 39.49 \pm 7.76 Liver: 123.92 \pm 23.95. At 1.93 mg/kg bw/day on day 28. Serum: 15.49 \pm 1.60 Liver: 44.17 \pm 4.36. At 1.93 mg/kg	Males (mean \pm SD):	
				<p>↓ body weight (g): 412.2 \pm 46.8 vs 384.8 \pm 46.8 on day 21 and 428.2 \pm 50.9 vs 397.0 \pm 51.4 on day 28. Comparable to controls on day 84.</p> <p>↑ relative liver weight (%): 4.53 \pm 0.29 vs 5.06 \pm 0.38 on day 1 and 3.63 \pm 0.39 vs 4.09 \pm 0.51 on day 84.</p> <p>↑ plasma cholesterol (nmol/L): 2.73 \pm 0.44 vs 2.17 \pm 0.37 on day 1 and 2.29 \pm 0.24 vs 1.61 \pm 0.33 on day 28. Comparable to controls on day 84.</p> <p>↑ DNA in liver (mg DNA/whole liver): 39.10 \pm 5.22</p>	
				Males:	NA / 1.93*

Liver-re
effects
seen in
rats du
84-day
recove
period
followin
day die
exposu
Exposu
sufficie
result i
increas
relative
weight
centric
hepat
hypert
Althoug
many c
hepat
respon
observ
the fir
recove

				Males (mean ± SE):	
				↑ ALT (U/L): 38.83 ± 4.59 vs 49.86 ± 3.78.	
				↑ TBA (nmol/L): 10.57 ± 1.20 vs 16.23 ± 0.55.	
		0, 1 or 10		↑ TNF-α (ng/mL): 3.87 ± 0.40 vs 5.809 ± 0.34.	
PFOS (potassium salt)	Sprague-	DMSO (0.4%) in corn oil.		↑ IL-6 (ng/mL): 2.72 ± 0.13 vs	
CAS no.	Dawley rats.	Gavage,	Data only	3.85 ± 0.43.	Males:
Not given	Male,	28 days,	reported in figures.	↑ PCNA positive nuclei: data only reported in figures.	NA / 1
98%.	6/dose.	OECD 407,		↑ gene expression (PCNA, c-Jun, c-MYC, CydD1): data only reported in figures	
Han et al. (2018b)		GLP not stated.		Centrilobular hepatocyte hypertrophy: data only reported in figures.	
				Recovery not	

The data suggests PFOS in Kupffer cells leading to hepatocyte proliferation by through the NF-κB/TNF dependent pathway

		0, 1 or 10				
PFOS (potassium salt)		DMSO (0.4%) in corn oil.			Males:	The stu
CAS no.	Sprague-	Gavage,		↑ ALT: data	Males:	demon
Not given	Dawley rats.	28 days,	NR	only reported	NA / 1	the
98%.	Male,	OECD 407,		in figures.		mecha
	6/dose.			Recovery not		action
Han et al.		GLP not		assessed.		PFOS-in
(2018a)		stated.				hepatio
						through
						genera

PFOS

(potassium
salt)

CAS no.

Not given

Purity not
given.

Huck et al.
(2018)

C47BL6/J

mice,

Male,

5/dose.

0 or 0.089,

Diet,

6 weeks,

Non-GL

study,

GLP not

stated.

NR

Males:

↑ relative
liver weight:
data only
reported in
figures.

↑ TG in liver:
data only
reported in
figures.

↓ gene
expression of
APOA1,
APOA2,
PEPCK, G6PC: data only
reported in
figures.

↑ gene
expression of
SREBF1: data
only reported
in figures.

↑ expression
of CD36 and
PPAR γ : data
only reported
in figures.

Males:

NA / 0.089

PFOS
treatm
signific
affecte
expres
lipid
traffick
genes t
favour
steatos
CD36,
major
hepato
lipid im
and PP
were in
by PFO

PFOS (potassium salt) CAS No. 1763-23-1 >96%. NTP. (2022a)	Sprague-Dawley rats, Male and female, 10/sex/dose.	0, 0.312, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5 or 5. 2% Tween® 80 in deionized water. Gavage, 28 days,	At 3.12 mg/kg bw/day in males (mean ± SE) Plasma: 23.7 ± 1.1 Liver: 87.2 ± 3.04. At 3.12 mg/kg bw/day in	Males (mean ± SE):	Males: NA / 0.312*	A major organ for PFOS was the liver. PFOS for showed increased weight Cyp2b1 expression and hepatomegaly hypertrophy in the presence of minimal increases Cyp4a1 expression Hepatomegaly hypertrophy observed in PFOS is due to peroxisome
				↑ relative liver weight (mg/g body weight): 34.92 ± 0.22 vs 38.66 ± 0.47.		
				↑ absolute liver weight (g): 11.79 ± 0.29 vs 13.14 ± 0.28.		
				↓ cholesterol in serum (mg/dL): 115 ± 2 vs 97 ± 3.		
				↑ gene expression of Cyp4a1: 1.04 ± 0.1 vs 2.09 ± 0.18.		
				↑ gene expression of Cyp2b1: 1.17 ± 0.21 vs 5.87 ± 1.05.		
				↑ gene expression of Cyp2b2: 1.22 ± 0.23 vs 6.60 ± 1.01.		
				Females (mean ± SE):		
				↑ relative liver weight		

Males (mean
 \pm SD):

↓ body
weight gain
(%): $14 \pm$
 11% vs $-8 \pm$
 8% on day
182.

↑ mortality (0
vs 2)

↓ total
cholesterol
from day 91
(mg/dL): 152
 ± 28 vs $48 \pm$
19.

↑ HDL from
day 153
(mg/dL): $63 \pm$
 11 vs 13 ± 5 .

↑ TG (mg/dL):
 45 ± 9 vs 30
 ± 12 .

↓ total
bilirubin from
day 91
(mg/dL): 0.6
 ± 0.2 vs 0.2
 ± 0.1

↑ SBA from
day 153
(mg/dL): $6 \pm$
 0.8 vs 18 ± 9 .

↓ SDH from
day 37 (IU/L):
 6 ± 4 vs 3
 ± 1 .