Table 11

In this guide

In this guide

- 1. Table 3 Annex A
- 2. Table 4 Annex A
- 3. Table 5 Annex A
- 4. Table 6 Annex A
- 5. Table 7 Annex A
- 6. Table 8 Annex A
- 7. Table 9 Annex A
- 8. Table 10 Annex A
- 9. Table 11 Annex A
- 10. Table 12 Annex A
- 11. Table 13 Annex A
- 12. Table 14 Annex A
- 13. Table 15 Annex A
- 14. Table 16 Annex A
- 15. Table 17 Annex A
- 16. Table 18 Annex A
- 17. Table 19 Annex A
- 18. Table 20 Annex A
- 19. Table 21 Annex A

This is a paper for discussion. This does not represent the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

Table 11. Repeated dose toxicity studies for PFCAs - PFDA

*Derived by contractor; ** calculated according to EFSA. (2012); NR – not reported; NA – not applicable.

Substance Strain & / CAS no. / species / purity / sex / no. of reference animals	Guideline (GL) study / Good Laboratory Practice (GLP)	(μg/mL / μg/g)	Observed effects at LOAEL (controls vs treated groups). Recovery (controls vs treated groups).
	status		

Published NOAEL /

LOAEL

(mg/kg bw/day) Study

commo

		0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1 or 2.	
PFDA		Deionized	
CAS No. 335-76-2	Sprague- Dawley rats.	water/2%, Tween 80,	
97.8%.	Female	Gavage,	NR
Frawley et al. (2018)	8/group.	28 days,	
un (2010)		Non-GL study,	
		GLP not stated.	

		The dat
Males (mean ±		under c
SE):		not ind
·		exposu
1 relative liver weight (%): 3.42	Females:	chain
		polyfluo
± 0.09 vs 3.77	NA / 0.125	may ind
± 0.10.		effects
Docovery not		consist
Recovery not		class.
assessed.		

		0, 0.31,			
		0.625,		Males (mean ±	
		1.125, 2.5		SE):	
		or 5.			
PFDA		Deionized		↑ absolute liver weight (g):	
CAS No.	B6C3F1	water/2%,		1.122 ± 0.031	
335-76-2	mice,	Tween 80,		vs 1.42 ± 0.054	Females:
97.8%.	Female	Gavage,	NR	↑ relative liver	0.31 / 0.625.
Frawley et al. (2018)	Nor	28 days,		weight (%): 4.83 ± 0.08 vs 5.61	1
		Non-GL		± 0.06.	
		study,		Recovery not	
		GLP not		assessed.	
		stated.			

The date under of not ind exposu chain

polyfluo may inc effects consist class.

				Males (mean ± SD):	
				\uparrow absolute liver weight (g): $11.89 \pm 0.51 \text{ vs}$ $13.54 \pm 0.40.$	
				↑ relative liver weight (g): 35.50 ± 0.97 vs 39.32 ± 0.53.	
				<pre>↓ TP (g/dL): 6.4 ± 0.1 vs 6.2 ± 0.1.</pre>	
				↓ globulin (g/dL): 2.2 ± 0.1 vs 1.9 ± 0.0 .	
				↑ albumin/globulin ratio: 1.9 ± 0.1 vs 2.2 ± 0.1 .	
			At 0 mg/kg bw/day in males (mean ± SE).	↓ cholesterol (mg/dL): 107 ± 5 vs 78 ± 3.	
				Acox1: 1.03 ±	
	0, 0.156.	Plasma: 0.022 ± 0.004	0.10 vs 1.70 ± 0.17. ↑ gene expression of		
		Liver: <lod.< td=""><td></td></lod.<>			
		0.312. 0.625. 1.25 or 2.5.	At 0.156 mg/kg bw/day in males.	Cyp4a1: 1.04 ± 0.10 vs 10.85 ± 1.11.	
PFDA			Plasma: 8.5 ±	1 gene	Males:
CAS No. 335-76-2	Sprague- Dawley rats.	in deionized water.	0.6 Liver: 44.7 ±	expression of Cyp2b1: 1.06 ± 0.21 vs 3.33 ±	NA / 0.156.

A majo toxicity liver. Cyp2b1 activati

mediate Acox1/0 sugges