

Discussion

In this guide

[In this guide](#)

1. [Introduction - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
2. [Background - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
3. [Summary of EFSA 2025 evaluation - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
4. [Establishing a HBGV - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
5. [Recommendations from the Panel - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
6. [Discussion - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
7. [Questions to the Committee - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
8. [List of Abbreviations - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
9. [Technical terms - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)
10. [References - EFSA Draft opinion on \$\Delta^8\$ -THC](#)

This is a paper for discussion. This does not represent the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

78. The Secretariat have noted the following points.

79. The ARfD set by the EFSA panel of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ bw/day is for the sum of Δ^8 -THC and Δ^9 -THC. Therefore, this is a reduction in the EFSA current standing ARfD for Δ^9 -THC/ Δ^9 -THCa at 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ bw. E.g. 0.5 μg of Δ^8 -THC + 0.5 Δ^9 -THC or 0.6 μg of Δ^8 -THC + 0.4 Δ^9 -THC.

80. No mention has been made of other precursors or other cannabinoids within this reference value (e.g. THCA).

81. The Secretariat noted the vast limitations in analytical data and sensitivity on detection methods. Therefore, occurrence calculations may not be reflective of actual human exposure to Δ^8 -THC in food.