Overall Conclusion

In this guide

In this guide

- 1. Executive Summary Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 2. Background and scope of discussion Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 3. <u>Properties of antimony and sources in drinking water Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23</u>
- 4. Oral toxicity data for antimony Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 5. <u>HBGVs established by WHO, ATSDR and Health Canada Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23</u>
- 6. Discussion Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 7. Overall Conclusion Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 8. List of abbreviations and their full meanings Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 9. References Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 10. Annex A Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23
- 11. Annex A References Annex 1 to TOX/2025/23

This is a draft position statement for discussion. This does not represent the views of the Committee and should not be cited.

- 35. Overall, the COT concluded that the NOAEL of $6,000~\mu g$ Sb/kg bw/day, from the Poon et al. (1998) study based on decreased body weight gain and reduced food and water consumption in adult rats, was the appropriate point of departure to use as the basis of a HBGV for antimony.
- 36. The Committee also highlighted that the pentavalent form of antimony, which is predominant in drinking water, exhibits lower toxicity compared to the trivalent form. As Poon et al. (1998) utilized the trivalent form of antimony (antimony potassium tartrate) in their study, a HBGV derived from the NOAEL of 6,000 μ g Sb/kg bw/day was considered a sufficiently protective for antimony in drinking water.

37. The Committee recommended a UF of 300, comprising a factor of 10 for interspecies variation, 10 for intraspecies variation, and 3 for subchronic to chronic extrapolation. This results in a TDI of 20 μ g Sb/kg bw/day.

COT Month 2025

Statement 2025/XX